
Old results as new tools for Credit risk

Monique Jeanblanc

Evry University

with N. El Karoui, Y. Jiao

T. Bielecki, D. Coculescu, Y. Le Cam, M. Rutkowski, S. Valchev

Risk Day, March 19, LSE LONDON

Credit Risk Model

- A reference **filtration** \mathbf{F} and a **random time** τ are given
- \mathbf{H} is the filtration generated by the process $H_t = \mathbb{1}_{\tau \leq t}$
- $\mathcal{G}_t = \mathcal{F}_t \vee \mathcal{H}_t$

The filtration \mathbf{G} enjoys the Minimal assumption: if $A \in \mathcal{G}_t$, there exists $\tilde{A} \in \mathcal{F}_t$ such that

$$A \cap \{t < \tau\} = \tilde{A} \cap \{t < \tau\}$$

It follows that, if $(Y_t, t \geq 0)$ is a \mathbf{G} -adapted process, there exists an \mathbf{F} -adapted process $(\tilde{Y}_t, t \geq 0)$ such that

$$Y_t \mathbb{1}_{t < \tau} = \tilde{Y}_t \mathbb{1}_{t < \tau}.$$

The survival hazard process is denoted by S :

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau > t | \mathcal{F}_t) = S_t$$

Assuming that $S_t > 0$, we obtain:

- for any integrable \mathcal{F}_T -measurable r.v. X

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{1}_{\{T < \tau\}} X \mid \mathcal{G}_t) = \mathbb{1}_{\{t < \tau\}} \frac{1}{S_t} \mathbb{E}(S_T X \mid \mathcal{F}_t).$$

- for any positive predictable process h

$$E(h_\tau \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau < T\}} \mid \mathcal{G}_t) = h_\tau \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau < t\}} - \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} \frac{1}{S_t} E\left(\int_t^T h_u dS_u \mid \mathcal{F}_t\right).$$

The process $(S_t, t \geq 0)$ is a \mathbf{F} -supermartingale and admits a Doob-Meyer decomposition

$$S_t = M_t^\tau - A_t^\tau$$

where M^τ is an \mathbf{F} -martingale, and A^τ is an \mathbf{F} -predictable increasing process.

The process

$$H_t - \Lambda_{t \wedge \tau} = H_t - \int_0^{t \wedge \tau} \frac{dA_s^\tau}{S_{s^-}}$$

is a \mathbf{G} -martingale.

If A is absolutely continuous w.r.t. Lebesgue measure,

$$H_t - \Lambda_{t \wedge \tau} = H_t - \int_0^{t \wedge \tau} \frac{a_s^\tau}{S_{s^-}} ds$$

and $\lambda_t = \frac{a_t^\tau}{S_{t^-}}$ is called the intensity.

In a general setting, the knowledge of the intensity does not allow to compute the conditional expectations

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{\{T < \tau\}} X \mid \mathcal{G}_t)$$

Martingale density

For any T , the process $S_t(T) \stackrel{def}{=} \mathbb{P}(\tau > T | \mathcal{F}_t)$ is an \mathbf{F} -martingale.

We assume that there exists a family of strictly positive processes $(\alpha_t(u), t \geq 0)$ such that

$$S_t(T) = \int_T^\infty \alpha_t(u) du$$

For any u , the process $(\alpha_t(u), t \geq 0)$ is an \mathbf{F} -martingale, and can be interpreted as

$$\alpha_t(u) du = \mathbb{P}(\tau \in du | \mathcal{F}_t)$$

In the case where \mathbf{F} is a Brownian filtration generated by W

$$d_t\alpha_t(u) = \psi_t(u)dW_t$$

which, from Ventzell theorem, implies that (if S is continuous)

$$dS_t = \Psi_t dW_t - \alpha_t(t)dt$$

where $\Psi_t = \int_t^\infty \psi_t(u)du$.

This equality gives the Doob-Meyer decomposition of S . In particular, we obtain that

$$H_t - \int_0^{t \wedge \tau} \frac{\alpha_s(s)}{S_s} ds$$

is a \mathbf{G} -martingale.

In a general setting, if the family of processes α exists, and if S is continuous, the process

$$H_t - \Lambda_{t \wedge \tau}$$

where

$$d\Lambda_t = \frac{\alpha_t(t)}{S_t} dt = \frac{\alpha_t(t)}{\int_t^\infty \alpha_t(u) du} dt,$$

is a **G**-martingale.

We can now extend the previous result. Let $X \in \mathcal{F}_T$ and h a Borel function. Then,

$$\mathbb{E}(Xh(T \wedge \tau)|\mathcal{G}_t) \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} = \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^\infty Xh(u \wedge T)\alpha_T(u)du|\mathcal{F}_t\right]}{S_t} \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau > t\}}$$

$$\mathbb{E}[Xh(T \wedge \tau)|\mathcal{G}_t] \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}} = \mathbb{E}\left[Xh(s)\frac{\alpha_T(s)}{\alpha_t(s)}|\mathcal{F}_t\right]\Big|_{s=\tau} \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}}$$

Intuitively, with $Y = Xh(T \wedge \tau)$

$$E(Y|\mathcal{G}_t)\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}} = \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}}f_t(\tau)$$

where $f_t(u)$ is a family of \mathbf{F} -adapted processes. It follows that, for $u < t$

$$\begin{aligned} f_t(u) &= \mathbb{E}(Y|\mathcal{F}_t, \tau = u) = \frac{\mathbb{E}(Y \mathbb{1}_{\tau \in du}|\mathcal{F}_t)}{\mathbb{P}(\tau \in du|\mathcal{F}_t)} \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{E}(Xh(u)\mathbb{1}_{\tau \in du}|\mathcal{F}_t)}{\mathbb{P}(\tau \in du|\mathcal{F}_t)} = \frac{\mathbb{E}(Xh(u)\mathbb{P}(\tau \in du|\mathcal{F}_T)|\mathcal{F}_t)}{\mathbb{P}(\tau \in du|\mathcal{F}_t)} \end{aligned}$$

More generally, if $(Y(t, u), t \geq 0)$ is a family of \mathbf{F} -adapted processes, then

$$\mathbb{E}(Y(T, \tau) | \mathcal{G}_t) \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} = \frac{\mathbb{E} \left[\int_t^\infty Y(T, u) \alpha_T(u) du | \mathcal{F}_t \right]}{S_t} \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau > t\}}$$

$$\mathbb{E}[Y(T, \tau) | \mathcal{G}_t] \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}} = \mathbb{E} \left[Y(T, T) \frac{\alpha_T(s)}{\alpha_t(s)} | \mathcal{F}_t \right] \Big|_{s=\tau} \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}}$$

Particular case: Immersion property (or (H) hypothesis)

The filtration \mathbf{F} is said to be **immersed** in \mathbf{G} if \mathbf{F} -martingales are \mathbf{G} -martingales. This condition is equivalent to

$$S_t = \mathbb{P}(\tau > t | \mathcal{F}_t) = \mathbb{P}(\tau > t | \mathcal{F}_\infty) = \mathbb{P}(\tau > t | \mathcal{F}_s), \forall t, s; t \leq s.$$

In that case, the process S is non-increasing, and $H_t - \Lambda_{t \wedge \tau}$ is a \mathbf{G} -martingale, where $\Lambda_t = -\ln S_t$.

There is equivalence between \mathbf{F} is immersed in \mathbf{G} and for any $u \geq 0$, the martingale $(\alpha_t(u), t \geq 0)$ is constant after u .

Examples

COX process model: Immersion

Let λ be an \mathbf{F} -adapted non-negative process, Θ a random variable with exponential law, independent of \mathcal{F}_∞ and

$$\tau \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \inf\left\{t : \int_0^t \lambda_s ds \geq \Theta\right\}$$

In that case,

$$S_t = \exp\left(-\int_0^t \lambda_u du\right),$$

and immersion property holds. In particular,

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau > \theta | \mathcal{F}_t) = \exp\left(-\int_0^\theta \lambda_u du\right) = S_\theta, \quad \forall \theta < t.$$

Imperfect information: Immersion Let

$$\tau = \inf \{t, X_t \leq b(t)\}.$$

where $X_t = \Psi(B_t, t)$ where B is a Brownian motion and $\Psi(\cdot, t)$ is invertible. The filtration \mathbf{F} is the natural filtration of the observed process is Y

$$dY_t = \mu_1(Y_t, t) dt + \sigma(Y_t, t) dB_t + s(Y_t, t) dW_t$$

$$Y_0 = y_0.$$

It can be proved that \mathbf{F} is immersed in $\mathbf{F} \vee \mathbf{H}$ and numerical approximation of the process S are done.

Partial Information: No immersion

Assume that

$$V_t = ve^{\sigma(W_t + \nu t)} = ve^{\sigma X_t}$$

and let, for $\alpha < v$

$$\tau = \inf\{t : V_t \leq \alpha\} = \inf\{t : X_t \leq a\}$$

The reference filtration \mathbf{F} is the filtration of the observations of V at discrete times t_1, \dots, t_n where $t_n \leq t < t_{n+1}$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma(V_{t_1}, \dots, V_{t_n}, t_i \leq t)$$

The process $S_t = P(\tau > t | \mathcal{F}_t)$ is not decreasing.

Two default times: no Immersion

Let $\tau_i, i = 1, 2$ two default times, $H_t^i = \mathbb{1}_{\tau_i \leq t}$, \mathbf{H}^i the natural filtration of H^i . Let $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{H}^2$, $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{F} \vee \mathbf{H}^1$.

Let $G(t, s) = \mathbb{P}(\tau_1 > t, \tau_2 > s)$ and $S_t^{(1)} = \mathbb{P}(\tau_1 > t | \mathcal{F}_t)$.

Then,

$$dS_t^{(1)} = \left(\frac{\partial_2 G(t, t)}{\partial_2 G(0, t)} - \frac{G(t, t)}{G(0, t)} \right) dM_t^2 + \left(H_t^2 \partial_1 h^{(1)}(t, \tau_2) + (1 - H_t^2) \frac{\partial_1 G(t, t)}{G(0, t)} \right) dt$$

where M^2 is the \mathbf{F} -martingale $M_t^2 = H_t^2 - \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_2} \frac{\mathbb{P}(\tau_2 \in ds)}{\mathbb{P}(\tau_2 > s)}$ and

$$h^{(1)}(t, s) = \frac{\partial_2 G(t, s)}{\partial_2 G(0, s)}$$

Generalized Cox Processes

Let Θ be independent of \mathcal{F}_∞ and $V \in \mathcal{F}_\infty$, with $V > 0$.

$$\tau = \inf\{t : \Lambda_t \geq \Theta V\}$$

Then,

$$S_t(T) = \mathbb{P}(\tau > T | \mathcal{F}_t) = \mathbb{P}(\Lambda_T > \Theta V | \mathcal{F}_t) = \mathbb{P}\left(\exp - \frac{\Lambda_T}{V} \geq \exp - \Theta \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right),$$

Let us denote $\Psi_t = \exp -\Lambda_t/V = \int_t^\infty \psi_s ds$, with

$$\psi_s = \frac{\lambda_s}{V} \exp - \int_0^s \frac{\lambda_u}{V} du,$$

and define, for any pair (s, t)

$$\gamma(s, t) = \mathbb{E}(\psi_s | \mathcal{F}_t) .$$

We have for any T and t :

$$S_t(T) = \int_T^\infty \gamma(s, t) ds = \int_T^\infty \alpha_t(s) \eta(ds)$$

where $\eta([0, t]) = \mathbb{P}(\tau \leq t)$ is the law of the variable τ and $\alpha_t(s) = \gamma(s, t)/\gamma(s, 0)$.

Two default times

Let τ_1, τ_2 two default times and $\sigma_1 < \sigma_2$ the ordered defaults. We suppose that the conditional probability admits a density

$$\mathbb{P}(\sigma_1 > u_1, \sigma_2 > u_2 | \mathcal{F}_t) = \int_{u_1}^{\infty} dv_1 \int_{u_2}^{\infty} dv_2 p_t(v_1, v_2)$$

In particular

$$\int_u^{\infty} p_t(u, v) dv = \alpha_t^{(1)}(u)$$

where $\alpha_t^{(1)}(u)$ is the density of $\mathbb{P}(\sigma_1 > u | \mathcal{F}_t)$.

Let $H_t^1 = \mathbb{1}_{\sigma_1 \leq t}$ and \mathbf{H}^1 its natural filtration. Then

$$\mathbb{P}(\sigma_2 > t | \mathcal{F}_t \vee \mathcal{H}_t^1) = \mathbb{1}_{\sigma_1 > t} + \mathbb{1}_{\sigma_1 < t} \frac{\int_t^\infty p_t(\sigma_1, v) dv}{\alpha_t^{(1)}(\sigma_1)}$$

The process $\mathbb{P}(\sigma^2 > \theta | \mathcal{F}_t \vee \mathcal{H}_t^1)$ admits a density:

$$\mathbb{P}(\sigma^2 > \theta | \mathcal{F}_t \vee \mathcal{H}_t^1) = \int_{\theta}^{\infty} \alpha_t^{(2)}(s) ds$$

where

$$\alpha_t^{(2)}(\theta) = \mathbb{1}_{\sigma_1 > t} \frac{\int_t^{\infty} du p_t(u, \theta)}{\int_t^{\infty} du \int_u^{\infty} dv p_t(u, v)} + \mathbb{1}_{\sigma_1 \leq t} \frac{p_t(\sigma_1, \theta)}{\alpha_t^{(1)}(\sigma_1)}$$

The intensity processes can be computed: the process $\mathbb{1}_{\sigma_2 \leq t} - \int_0^{t \wedge \sigma_2} \lambda_s^{(2)} ds$ where

$$\lambda_t^{(2)} = \mathbb{1}_{[\sigma_1, \sigma_2]}(t) \frac{p_t(\sigma_1, t)}{\int_t^\infty p_t(\sigma_1, v) dv}$$

is a martingale

As a general result, we get, for $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{H} \vee \mathbf{H}^1 \vee \mathbf{H}^2$

$$\mathbb{E}(Xh(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)|\mathcal{G}_t)\mathbb{1}_{t < \sigma_1} = \mathbb{1}_{t < \sigma_1} \frac{\mathbb{E}(\int_t^\infty du_1 \int_{u_1}^\infty du_2 Xh(u_1, u_2)p_T(u_1, u_2)|\mathcal{F}_t)}{\int_t^\infty du_1 \int_t^\infty du_2 p_t(u_1, u_2)}$$

$$\mathbb{E}(Xh(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)|\mathcal{G}_t)\mathbb{1}_{\sigma_1 \leq t < \sigma_2} = \mathbb{1}_{\sigma_1 \leq t < \sigma_2} \frac{\mathbb{E}(\int_{u_1 \vee t}^\infty du_2 Xh(u_1, u_2)p_T(u_1, u_2)|\mathcal{F}_t)}{\int_{t \vee u_1}^\infty du_2 p_t(u_1, u_2)} \Big|_{u_1 = \sigma_1}$$

$$\mathbb{E}(Xh(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)|\mathcal{G}_t)\mathbb{1}_{\sigma_2 \leq t} = \mathbb{1}_{\sigma_2 \leq t} \frac{\mathbb{E}(Xh(u_1, u_2)p_T(u_1, u_2)|\mathcal{F}_t)}{p_t(u_1, u_2)} \Big|_{u_1 = \sigma_1, u_2 = \sigma_2}$$

Enlargement of filtration formula

In general, τ is not an honest time. However, it is possible to prove that any \mathbf{F} -martingale is a \mathbf{G} -semi-martingale. If X is a \mathbf{F} martingale, if S admits a density, then

$$\begin{aligned} X_t &= \tilde{X}_t + \int_0^{t \wedge \tau} \frac{d\langle X, M^\tau \rangle_s}{S_{s-}} + \int_{t \wedge \tau}^t g(\tau, dv), \\ &= \tilde{X}_t + \int_0^{t \wedge \tau} \int_s^\infty \eta(dv) \frac{d\langle X, \alpha^v \rangle_s}{S_{s-}} + \int_{t \wedge \tau}^t g(\tau, dv) \end{aligned}$$

where \tilde{X} is a \mathbf{G} -martingale and

$$g(u, dv) = \frac{d\langle \alpha_\cdot(u), X \rangle_v}{\alpha_v(u)}$$

Multiplicative decomposition

The super-martingale $(S_t, t \geq 0)$ admits a multiplicative decomposition as

$$S_t = L_t D_t$$

where L is a local martingale and D a predictable non-increasing process.

From $S_t = M_t^\tau - A_t^\tau$ and $S_t = L_t D_t$ it follows from Yoeurp theorem that

$$dS_t = L_{t-} dD_t + D_t dL_t$$

hence

$$dD_t = -\frac{1}{L_{t-}} dA_t^\tau = -D_{t-} \frac{dA_t^\tau}{S_{t-}} = -D_{t-} d\Lambda_t$$

and $D_t = \mathcal{E}(-\Lambda)_t$. If Λ is continuous $D_t = \exp(-\Lambda_t)$.

Of course, if immersion property holds, $L \equiv 1$.

The price of a DZC with maturity T is (we assume null interest rate)

$$D(t, T) = \mathbb{P}(\tau > T | \mathcal{G}_t) = \mathbf{1}_{\tau > t} \frac{1}{S_t} \mathbb{E}(S_T | \mathcal{F}_t) \stackrel{def}{=} \mathbf{1}_{\tau > t} \tilde{D}(t, T)$$

Using the multiplicative decomposition $S_t = D_t L_t$, and assuming that $(L_t, t \leq T)$ is a martingale, the price of the defaultable payoff $X \mathbf{1}_{T < \tau}$ is

$$E(X \mathbf{1}_{T < \tau} | \mathcal{G}_t) = \mathbf{1}_{t < \tau} \frac{1}{S_t} \mathbb{E}(X S_T | \mathcal{F}_t) = \mathbf{1}_{t < \tau} \frac{1}{D_t} \hat{\mathbb{E}}(X D_T | \mathcal{F}_t)$$

where $d\hat{\mathbb{P}}|_{\mathcal{F}_t} = L_t d\mathbb{P}|_{\mathcal{F}_t}$

To end the talk, the sentence

Le livre n'est pas terminé.

La fin n'a pas été écrite, elle n'a jamais été trouvée.

M. Duras, L'été 80.

has to be changed into

Le travail n'est pas terminé.

La fin n'a pas été écrite, elle n'a pas encore été trouvée.