

Fractional intertwining between two Markov semigroups

F. Hirsch⁽¹⁾, M. Yor^{(2),(3)}

⁽¹⁾ Laboratoire d'Analyse et Probabilités,
Université d'Évry - Val d'Essonne, Boulevard F. Mitterrand,
F-91025 Évry Cedex
e-mail: francis.hirsch@univ-evry.fr

⁽²⁾ Laboratoire de Probabilités et Modèles Aléatoires,
Université Paris VI et VII, 4 Place Jussieu - Case 188,
F-75252 Paris Cedex 05
e-mail: deaproba@proba.jussieu.fr

⁽³⁾ Institut Universitaire de France

Abstract We define the notion of α -intertwining between two Markov Feller semigroups on \mathbb{R}_+ and we give some examples. The 1-intertwining, in particular, is merely the intertwining via the first derivative operator. It can be used in the study of the existence of pseudo-inverses, a notion recently introduced by Madan-Roynette-Yor [12] and Roynette-Yor [15].

Key words Markov semigroup, intertwining, fractional derivative, fractional integration, pseudo-inverse

1 Introduction

During the last two decades, a number of examples of intertwining between two Markov semigroups (P_t) and (Q_t) defined respectively on (E, \mathcal{E}) and (F, \mathcal{F}) , via a Markovian kernel $\Lambda : (E, \mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow (F, \mathcal{F})$, have been discovered and exploited. Precisely, (P_t) and (Q_t) are intertwined via Λ if

$$Q_t \Lambda = \Lambda P_t \tag{1}$$

where, for two kernels M and N , MN denotes the composition of these kernels.

To illustrate, let us present an important example. Let us denote, for $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > 0$, by $\Lambda_{\alpha,\beta}$ the “multiplication kernel” by a beta(α, β) variable, that is:

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}_+ \quad \Lambda_{\alpha,\beta} f(x) = E[f(x Z_{\alpha,\beta})], \quad f : \mathbb{R}_+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+, \text{ Borel,}$$

$$\text{and} \quad P(Z_{\alpha,\beta} \in dz) = \frac{z^{\alpha-1}(1-z)^{\beta-1}}{B(\alpha, \beta)} dz \quad (0 < z < 1).$$

We also denote, for $\delta > 0$, by (Q_t^δ) the semigroup of the squared Bessel process with dimension δ . One then has, for $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > 0$, the following intertwining relation (Yor [17]):

$$Q_t^{2(\alpha+\beta)} \Lambda_{\alpha,\beta} = \Lambda_{\alpha,\beta} Q_t^{2\alpha}. \quad (2)$$

This result is an extension (at the semigroup level) of the so-called beta-gamma algebra. In the case $\alpha = 1/2$ and $\beta = 1$, the intertwining (2) is closely related to Pitman’s theorem asserting that $R_t := 2S_t - B_t$, $t \geq 0$, is a 3-dimensional Bessel process, when (B_t) is the Brownian motion starting from 0, and $S_t = \sup_{s \leq t} B_s$.

We refer to the paper Carmona-Petit-Yor [4] and to the list of references therein, for various examples of intertwining. These are obtained very often, as explained in that paper, via a filtering type framework. We also mention the papers of Biane [2] and of Matsumoto-Yor [13, 14].

In the present paper, we shall discuss a kind of intertwining which is different from that induced by a Markovian kernel Λ via (1). Our motivation comes from the following relation:

$$\forall f \in E_1 \quad Q_t^{\delta+2} D f = D Q_t^\delta f \quad (3)$$

where E_1 denotes the space of C^1 -functions on \mathbb{R}_+ which tend to 0 at infinity as well as their first derivative, D denotes the first derivative and, as previously, for $\delta > 0$, (Q_t^δ) denotes the semigroup of the squared Bessel process with dimension δ . This formula (3) is found in an equivalent but different form, in Hirsch-Song [7], and has been helpful in the discussion of pseudo-inverses of squared Bessel processes developed recently by Roynette-Yor [15] (see also Section 5 below).

In this paper, we are more generally interested in the intertwining via the fractional derivative operator D^α , that we call the α -intertwining. So the organization of the present paper is as follows:

- in Section 2, we define precisely the operators D^α and V^α , respectively of differentiation and of integration of order α , for $\alpha \in (0, 1]$,
- in Section 3, we prove some equivalent forms of the α -intertwining,
- in Section 4, we discuss two classes of examples. The first one is in the framework of branching processes with immigration (Kawazu-Watanabe [8]), and the second one is in the framework of processes obtained in Yor [17] by intertwining (in the sense of (1)) from squared Bessel processes,
- in Section 5, we present as an application of the 1-intertwining, an approach to the problem of the existence of some pseudo-inverses.

2 Operators D^α and V^α

2.1

Let E be the space of continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, +\infty)$, tending to 0 at infinity, equipped with the norm:

$$\|f\|_E = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}_+} |f(x)|.$$

We denote by E_1 the space of C^1 -functions f on \mathbb{R}_+ such that f and its derivative f' belong to E , equipped with the norm:

$$\|f\|_{E_1} = \|f\|_E + \|f'\|_E.$$

We denote by D the closed operator on E with domain $\text{dom}D = E_1$ and defined by

$$\forall f \in E_1 \quad Df = f'.$$

We define E_{-1} as the set of functions $f \in E$ such that $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^x f(t) dt$ exists (then denoted by $\int_0^\infty f(t) dt$).

We denote by V the closed operator on E with domain $\text{dom}V = E_{-1}$ and defined by

$$\forall f \in E_{-1} \quad Vf(x) = \int_x^\infty f(t) dt.$$

The space E_{-1} is equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_{E_{-1}} = \|f\|_E + \|Vf\|_E.$$

2.2

If F is a space of functions on \mathbb{R}_+ , F^+ (resp. F^c) will denote the subspace of F consisting of functions which are nonnegative (resp. with compact support in \mathbb{R}_+). Obviously, E_1 is dense in E , E_1^c is dense in E_1 , and E^c is dense in E_{-1} .

2.3

If we consider D as an operator from E_1 onto E_{-1} and V as an operator from E_{-1} onto E_1 , then these operators are isometries satisfying

$$VD = -I_{E_1} \quad \text{and} \quad DV = -I_{E_{-1}}$$

where I_{E_1} (resp. $I_{E_{-1}}$) denotes the identity operator on E_1 (resp. E_{-1}).

One also has $D = -V^{-1}$ and $V = -D^{-1}$ as closed (non-everywhere defined) operators on E .

2.4

Let $0 < \alpha < 1$. We define D^α as the closure in E of the operator defined on E_1 by

$$\forall f \in E_1, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}_+, \quad D^\alpha f(x) = \frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^\infty [f(x+t) - f(x)] t^{-1-\alpha} dt.$$

In other words, D^α is defined as $-(-D)^\alpha$ in the sense of fractional powers of closed operators (Balakrishnan [1]).

We remark that $D^\alpha(E_1^c) \subset E^c$.

Lemma 2.1 For $f \in E_1$,

$$D^\alpha f(x) = \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^a f'(x+t) t^{-\alpha} dt$$

uniformly with respect to $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Moreover, D^α is continuous from E_1 into E .

Proof If $f \in E_1$ and $a > 0$,

$$D^\alpha f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \left[\alpha \int_a^\infty [f(x+t) - f(x+a)] t^{-1-\alpha} dt + \int_0^a f'(x+t) t^{-\alpha} dt \right].$$

Hence the uniform limit holds and

$$\|D^\alpha f\|_E \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} (2\|f\|_E + (1-\alpha)^{-1}\|f'\|_E).$$

□

2.5

According to general results on fractional powers (Komatsu [9, Theorem 4.4]), one has a precise description of the domain of D^α as the set of $f \in E$ such that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} [f(x+t) - f(x)] t^{-1-\alpha} dt$$

exists uniformly with respect to x . Moreover,

$$\forall f \in \text{dom} D^\alpha \quad D^\alpha f(x) = \frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} [f(x+t) - f(x)] t^{-1-\alpha} dt.$$

2.6

Let $0 < \alpha < 1$. We define V^α as the closure in E of the operator defined on E_{-1} by

$$\forall f \in E_{-1}, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}_+, \quad V^\alpha f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^a f(x+t) t^{-1+\alpha} dt.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\forall f \in E_{-1} \quad V^\alpha f = -D^{1-\alpha} V f.$$

In other words, V^α is defined as the α -power of V in the sense of fractional powers of closed operators (Balakrishnan [1]).

We remark that $V^\alpha(E^c) \subset E^c$. Moreover, by Lemma 2.1, V^α is continuous from E_{-1} into E .

2.7

One has a precise description of the domain of V^α as the set of $f \in E$ such that

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^a f(x+t) t^{-1+\alpha} dt$$

exists uniformly with respect to x . Moreover,

$$\forall f \in \text{dom} V^\alpha \quad V^\alpha f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^a f(x+t) t^{-1+\alpha} dt.$$

This result, in a much more general framework, can be found in Hirsch [5, Corollaire du Théorème 4]. We also refer to Hirsch [6] and to the references therein.

We notice that we could use, in what follows, another precise description of the domain, that given in Komatsu [9, Theorem 2.10].

2.8

By the general theory of fractional powers (see, for example, Komatsu [10, Theorem 3.2]), for $0 < \alpha < 1$, $D^\alpha = -(V^\alpha)^{-1}$ and $V^\alpha = -(D^\alpha)^{-1}$ as closed operators on E . This result plays an important role in what follows. As seen above, this also holds for $\alpha = 1$, setting $D^1 = D$ and $V^1 = V$.

2.9

Let $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. We denote by \widetilde{V}^α the kernel defined, for a generic nonnegative Borel function on \mathbb{R}_+ , f , by

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}_+ \quad \widetilde{V}^\alpha f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\infty f(x+t) t^{-1+\alpha} dt \leq +\infty.$$

We have the following relation between V^α and \widetilde{V}^α .

Lemma 2.2 *If $f \in E^+$, then $f \in \text{dom}V^\alpha$ if and only if $\widetilde{V}^\alpha f \in E$. In this case, $V^\alpha f = \widetilde{V}^\alpha f$.*

Proof This follows easily from the precise description of $\text{dom}V^\alpha$ given in Subsection 2.7, by using Dini's lemma. \square

3 Intertwining of order α

In this section, we fix $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and we consider two Feller semigroups: $P = (P_t)$ and $Q = (Q_t)$, on \mathbb{R}_+ . We denote by \widetilde{P}_t and \widetilde{Q}_t the kernels associated with P_t and Q_t .

If A and B are two (non-everywhere defined) operators on E , we denote by $A \subset B$ the relation:

$$\text{dom}A \subset \text{dom}B \quad \text{and} \quad \forall f \in \text{dom}A, Af = Bf,$$

and by AB the composition of operators A and B , whose domain is:

$$\text{dom}(AB) = \{f \in \text{dom}B ; Bf \in \text{dom}A\}.$$

Definition 3.1 The pair (P, Q) is said α -intertwined if, for any $t > 0$,

$$Q_t D^\alpha \subset D^\alpha P_t,$$

which means that, if $f \in \text{dom}D^\alpha$, then $P_t f \in \text{dom}D^\alpha$ and $D^\alpha P_t f = Q_t D^\alpha f$.

Property 1, in the following theorem, gives a useful characterization of the α -intertwining.

Theorem 3.2 *The following properties are equivalent:*

1. For all $t > 0$, $\tilde{P}_t \tilde{V}^\alpha = \tilde{V}^\alpha \tilde{Q}_t$.
2. For all $t > 0$, $P_t V^\alpha \subset V^\alpha Q_t$.
3. (P, Q) is α -intertwined.

Proof

1. \Rightarrow 2. Suppose that property 1 holds and let $f \in (E^c)^+$. Then $\tilde{V}^\alpha f = V^\alpha f$ and

$$\tilde{V}^\alpha Q_t f = P_t V^\alpha f \in E.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2.2,

$$Q_t f \in \text{dom} V^\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad V^\alpha Q_t f = P_t V^\alpha f. \quad (4)$$

As E^c is dense in E_{-1} and V^α is continuous from E_{-1} into E , we see, using the fact that V^α is closed, that (4) is true for $f \in E_{-1}$.

Now, if $f \in \text{dom} V^\alpha$, by the definition of V^α , there exists a sequence (f_n) in E_{-1} which converges to f in E and such that $(V^\alpha f_n)$ converges to $V^\alpha f$ in E . As V^α is closed, f satisfies (4), and hence, property 2 holds.

2. \Rightarrow 1. Suppose that property 2 holds. Then, by Lemma 2.2,

$$\forall f \in (E^c)^+ \quad \tilde{P}_t \tilde{V}^\alpha f = \tilde{V}^\alpha \tilde{Q}_t f$$

and therefore property 1 holds.

2. \Rightarrow 3. Suppose that property 2 holds and let $f \in \text{dom} D^\alpha$. We set $g = D^\alpha f$. As $V^\alpha = -(D^\alpha)^{-1}$, then $g \in \text{dom} V^\alpha$ and $V^\alpha g = -f$. By property 2, $Q_t g \in \text{dom} V^\alpha$ and

$$V^\alpha Q_t D^\alpha f = V^\alpha Q_t g = P_t V^\alpha g = -P_t f.$$

Using again $V^\alpha = -(D^\alpha)^{-1}$, we have:

$$P_t f \in \text{dom} D^\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad D^\alpha P_t f = Q_t D^\alpha f,$$

and therefore, property 3 holds.

3. \Rightarrow 2. The proof is analogous to the previous one.

□

4 Examples

4.1 Branching processes with immigration

Recall that a *Bernstein function* is a nonnegative continuous function H on \mathbb{R}_+ , which is C^1 on $(0, +\infty)$, and such that H' is completely monotone.

We consider a Bernstein function F such that $F(0) = 0$. In other words, F is the Laplace exponent of a subordinator. We have (Bernstein's theorem):

$$F(x) = ax + \int (1 - e^{-tx}) n(dt) \quad (5)$$

with $a \geq 0$ and n a σ -finite, positive measure on $(0, +\infty)$ such that $\int \frac{t}{1+t} n(dt) < +\infty$.

We consider another Bernstein function, G , defined by:

$$G(x) = bx + \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-tx}) \varphi(t) dt \quad (6)$$

with $b \geq 0$ and φ a nonnegative decreasing function on $(0, +\infty)$ such that $\int_0^\infty \frac{t}{1+t} \varphi(t) dt < +\infty$.

We now set $R(x) = -xG(x)$. We then easily have:

$$\begin{aligned} R(x) &= -bx^2 + \left(\int_0^\infty \frac{t^3}{1+t^2} d\varphi(t) \right) x \\ &\quad + \int_0^\infty \left(e^{-tx} - 1 + \frac{xt}{1+t^2} \right) d\varphi(t) \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

and $\int_0^\infty \frac{t^2}{1+t} (-d\varphi(t)) < +\infty$.

We then define $\psi_G(t, \lambda)$ and $\varphi_{F,G}(t, \lambda)$ ($t \geq 0, \lambda \geq 0$) by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi_G = R(\psi_G) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_G(0, \lambda) = \lambda \quad (8)$$

$$\varphi_{F,G}(t, \lambda) = \exp \left\{ - \int_0^t F(\psi_G(s, \lambda)) ds \right\}. \quad (9)$$

By Theorem 1.1 in Kawazu-Watanabe [8], it follows from (5), (7), (8) and (9), that there exists a Markovian Feller semigroup: $Q^{F,G} = (Q_t^{F,G})$, whose Laplace transform is given by:

$$\forall \lambda > 0, \forall x \geq 0, \quad Q_t^{F,G}(e_\lambda)(x) = \varphi_{F,G}(t, \lambda) \exp[-x \psi_G(t, \lambda)]$$

where $e_\lambda(s) = e^{-\lambda s}$.

Theorem 4.1 For $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, the pair $(Q^{F,G}, Q^{F+\alpha G,G})$ is α -intertwined.

Proof For every $\lambda > 0$, $V^\alpha(e_\lambda) = \lambda^{-\alpha} e_\lambda$. Therefore,

$$\widetilde{Q}_t^{F,G} \widetilde{V}^\alpha e_\lambda = \lambda^{-\alpha} \varphi_{F,G}(t, \lambda) e_{\psi_G(t,\lambda)}$$

and

$$\widetilde{V}^\alpha \widetilde{Q}_t^{F+\alpha G,G} e_\lambda = \varphi_{F+\alpha G,G}(t, \lambda) \psi_G^{-\alpha}(t, \lambda) e_{\psi_G(t,\lambda)}.$$

But, by (8) and (9),

$$\psi_G(t, \lambda) = \lambda \varphi_{G,G}(t, \lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_{F+\alpha G,G} = \varphi_{F,G} (\varphi_{G,G})^\alpha.$$

Consequently, for every $\lambda > 0$,

$$\widetilde{Q}_t^{F,G} \widetilde{V}^\alpha e_\lambda = \widetilde{V}^\alpha \widetilde{Q}_t^{F+\alpha G,G} e_\lambda.$$

Thus, by injectivity of the Laplace transform, the property 1 in Theorem 3.2 is satisfied. \square

We now can extend the previous theorem to any $\alpha > 0$.

It is not difficult to see, using the explicit definition of D^α (Subsection 2.5) and of V^α (Subsection 2.7), that, if $0 < \alpha \leq 1$,

$$D D^\alpha = D^\alpha D \quad \text{and} \quad V V^\alpha = V^\alpha V.$$

Therefrom, for $\alpha > 0$, one defines

$$D^\alpha := D^n D^{\alpha'} = D^{\alpha'} D^n \quad \text{and} \quad V^\alpha := V^n V^{\alpha'} = V^{\alpha'} V^n$$

with $\alpha = n + \alpha'$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha' \in (0, 1]$. We still define, for any $\alpha > 0$, the α -intertwining by Definition 3.1. Then, reasoning by induction, we obtain:

Corollary 4.2 For any $\alpha > 0$, the pair $(Q^{F,G}, Q^{F+\alpha G,G})$ is α -intertwined, and, for $t > 0$, we also have

$$Q_t^{F,G} V^\alpha \subset V^\alpha Q_t^{F+\alpha G,G}.$$

4.1.1 Example

Let $\delta > 0$, $F(x) = \delta x$, $G(x) = 2x$ (which corresponds, in (5) and (6), to $a = \delta$, $n = 0$, $b = 2$, $\varphi = 0$).

Then

$$\psi_G(t, \lambda) = \frac{\lambda}{1 + 2t\lambda} \quad \varphi_{F,G}(t, \lambda) = (1 + 2t\lambda)^{-\delta/2}$$

and $Q^{F,G} = Q^\delta$, the semigroup of the squared Bessel process of dimension δ . By Corollary 4.2, we have:

$$\forall \delta > 0, \forall \alpha > 0, \quad (Q^\delta, Q^{\delta+2\alpha}) \text{ is } \alpha\text{-intertwined.}$$

In particular, $Q_t^{\delta+2} D \subset D Q_t^\delta$, which is the property (3) in the introduction.

4.1.2 Example (Kawazu-Watanabe [8, Example 1.1])

Let $\delta > 0$, $r > 0$, $0 < \beta < 1$. We set $F(x) = \delta x^\beta$ and $G(x) = r x^\beta$ (which corresponds, in (5) and (6), to $a = 0$, $b = 0$, $\varphi(t) = \frac{r\beta}{\Gamma(1-\beta)} t^{-\beta-1}$,

$$n(dt) = \frac{\delta}{r} \varphi(t) dt).$$

Then

$$\psi_G(t, \lambda) = \frac{\lambda}{(1 + r\beta\lambda^\beta t)^{1/\beta}} \quad \varphi_{F,G}(t, \lambda) = (1 + r\beta\lambda^\beta t)^{-\frac{\delta}{r\beta}}$$

We denote by $Q^{\delta,r,\beta}$ the associated semigroup. By Corollary 4.2, we have:

$$\forall r, \delta > 0, \forall \beta \in (0, 1), \forall \alpha > 0, \quad (Q^{\delta,r,\beta}, Q^{\delta+r\alpha,r,\beta}) \text{ is } \alpha\text{-intertwined.}$$

We remark that the process associated with $Q^{\delta,r,\beta}$ is a *semi-stable Markov process of order β^{-1}* in the sense of Lamperti [11], which means:

$$\forall \alpha > 0, \forall t \geq 0, \forall f \in E, \quad Q_{at}^{\delta,r,\beta} f(x) = Q_t^{\delta,r,\beta} (\tau_{a^{\beta-1}} f)(a^{-\beta-1} x)$$

where $\tau_{a^{\beta-1}} f(x) = f(a^{\beta-1} x)$.

4.2 Processes obtained by intertwining from squared Bessel processes

4.2.1 Kernel M_a

For $a > 0$, we denote by M_a the multiplication kernel by $2\gamma_a$, where γ_a is an exponential variable of index a , that is:

$$\forall x \geq 0 \quad M_a f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^\infty f(2xs) s^{a-1} e^{-s} ds.$$

We have the following density lemma.

Lemma 4.3 *If $a > 1$, then the space spanned by $\{M_a e_\lambda ; \lambda > 0\}$ is dense in E_{-1} .*

Proof Let L be a continuous linear form on E_{-1} . There exist two signed bounded measures on \mathbb{R}_+ , μ and ν , such that

$$\forall f \in E_{-1} \quad Lf = \int f \, d\mu + \int Vf \, d\nu.$$

For any $\lambda > 0$, $M_a e_\lambda(x) = (1 + 2\lambda x)^{-a}$, then, since $a > 1$, $M_a e_\lambda \in E_{-1}$. Denoting by \mathcal{L} the Laplace transform, we have

$$\begin{aligned} L(M_a e_\lambda) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \left[\int_0^\infty \mathcal{L}\mu(2\lambda s) s^{a-1} e^{-s} \, ds + \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{2\lambda s} \mathcal{L}\nu(2\lambda s) s^{a-1} e^{-s} \, ds \right] \\ &= \frac{(2\lambda)^{-a}}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^\infty [u \mathcal{L}\mu(u) + \mathcal{L}\nu(u)] u^{a-2} e^{-u/2\lambda} \, du. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose now that $L(M_a e_\lambda) = 0$ for every $\lambda > 0$. Then, by the injectivity of the Laplace transform,

$$\forall u > 0 \quad u \mathcal{L}\mu(u) + \mathcal{L}\nu(u) = 0.$$

Let $N(x) = \nu([0, x])$. Then, using again the injectivity of the Laplace transform, we obtain

$$\mu(dx) = -N(x) \, dx.$$

Consequently, for $f \in E^c$,

$$Lf = - \int_0^\infty f(x) N(x) \, dx + \int_0^\infty Vf(x) \nu(dx) = 0.$$

As E^c is dense in E_{-1} , we get $L = 0$, and the result follows by the Hahn-Banach theorem. \square

In what follows, for $x > 0$, we set:

$$c(x) = 2^x \Gamma(x).$$

Lemma 4.4 *For any $a > 0$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1]$,*

$$c(a) M_a \widetilde{V}^\alpha = c(a + \alpha) \widetilde{V}^\alpha M_{a+\alpha}.$$

Proof An easy calculation yields, for $\lambda > 0$ and $x \geq 0$,

$$c(a) M_a \widetilde{V}^\alpha e_\lambda(x) = c(a) \lambda^{-\alpha} (1 + 2\lambda x)^{-a} = c(a + \alpha) \widetilde{V}^\alpha M_{a+\alpha} e_\lambda(x),$$

and the result follows by the injectivity of the Laplace transform. \square

4.2.2 Semigroup $Q^{\delta',\delta}$

Let $\delta \geq 2$ and $0 < \delta' < \delta$. We still denote by $Q^{\delta'} = (Q_t^{\delta'})$ the semigroup of the squared Bessel process of dimension δ' . According to Yor [17] (see also Carmona-Petit-Yor [3]), there exists a Feller semigroup $Q^{\delta',\delta}$ satisfying, for all $t \geq 0$,

$$Q_t^{\delta',\delta} M_{\delta/2} = M_{\delta/2} Q_t^{\delta'}. \quad (10)$$

Theorem 4.5 *For every $\alpha > 0$, the pair $(Q^{\delta',\delta}, Q^{\delta'+2\alpha,\delta+2\alpha})$ is α -intertwined.*

Proof Suppose first $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. By Lemma 4.4, (10) and the example 4.1.1, we get, for any $\lambda > 0$,

$$Q_t^{\delta',\delta} V^\alpha M_{(\delta/2)+\alpha} e_\lambda = V^\alpha Q_t^{\delta'+2\alpha,\delta+2\alpha} M_{(\delta/2)+\alpha} e_\lambda.$$

As $(\delta/2) + \alpha$ is > 1 , by Lemma 4.3, the continuity of V^α on E_{-1} and the fact that V^α is a closed operator on E , we obtain

$$\forall f \in E_{-1} \quad Q_t^{\delta',\delta} V^\alpha f = V^\alpha Q_t^{\delta'+2\alpha,\delta+2\alpha} f,$$

and then, by definition of V^α ,

$$Q_t^{\delta',\delta} V^\alpha \subset V^\alpha Q_t^{\delta'+2\alpha,\delta+2\alpha}.$$

Property 2 in Theorem 3.2 is therefore satisfied.

The case $\alpha > 1$ can be obtained by induction as explained at the end of Subsection 4.1. \square

4.2.3 Kernel \widehat{M}_a

For $a > 0$, we denote by \widehat{M}_a the multiplication kernel by $(2\gamma_a)^{-1}$, where γ_a is as before an exponential variable of index a , that is:

$$\forall x \geq 0 \quad \widehat{M}_a f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^\infty f\left(\frac{x}{2s}\right) s^{a-1} e^{-s} ds.$$

We have the following uniqueness lemma.

Lemma 4.6 *Let $a > 0$ and let f and g be nonnegative Borel functions on \mathbb{R}_+ . Suppose*

$$\forall x \geq 0 \quad \widehat{M}_a f(x) = \widehat{M}_a g(x) < +\infty.$$

Then $f = g$ almost everywhere on \mathbb{R}_+ .

Proof We have

$$\widehat{M}_a f(x) = \frac{x^a}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^\infty f\left(\frac{1}{2u}\right) u^{a-1} e^{-ux} du.$$

Then the result follows from the injectivity of the Laplace transform. \square

We also have the analogue of Lemma 4.4.

Lemma 4.7 *For any $a > 0$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1]$,*

$$c(a) \widetilde{V}^\alpha \widehat{M}_a = c(a + \alpha) \widehat{M}_{a+\alpha} \widetilde{V}^\alpha.$$

Proof An easy calculation yields, for $\lambda > 0$ and $x \geq 0$,

$$c(a) \widetilde{V}^\alpha \widehat{M}_a e_\lambda(x) = 2^{a+\alpha} \lambda^{-\alpha} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{\lambda x}{2t}} e^{-t} t^{a+\alpha-1} dt = c(a + \alpha) \widehat{M}_{a+\alpha} \widetilde{V}^\alpha e_\lambda(x),$$

and the result follows again from the injectivity of the Laplace transform. \square

4.2.4 Semigroup $\widehat{Q}^{\delta', \delta}$

Let $\delta > 2$ and $0 < \delta' < \delta$. We still denote by $Q^{\delta'} = (Q_t^{\delta'})$ the semigroup of the squared Bessel process of dimension δ' . According to Yor [17] (see also Carmona-Petit-Yor [3]), there exists a Feller semigroup $\widehat{Q}^{\delta', \delta}$ satisfying, for all $t \geq 0$,

$$Q_t^{\delta'} \widehat{M}_{(\delta-\delta')/2} = \widehat{M}_{(\delta-\delta')/2} \widehat{Q}_t^{\delta', \delta}. \quad (11)$$

Theorem 4.8 *For $\alpha > 0$ such that $\delta' + 2\alpha < \delta$, the pair $(\widehat{Q}^{\delta', \delta}, \widehat{Q}^{\delta'+2\alpha, \delta})$ is α -intertwined.*

Proof Suppose first $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $\delta' + 2\alpha < \delta$. By Lemma 4.7, (11) and the example 4.1.1, we get, for any $f \in (E^c)^+$,

$$\widehat{M}_{(\delta-\delta')/2} \widehat{Q}_t^{\delta', \delta} \widetilde{V}^\alpha f = \widehat{M}_{(\delta-\delta')/2} \widetilde{V}^\alpha \widehat{Q}_t^{\delta'+2\alpha, \delta} f.$$

Using Lemma 4.6, we see that Property 1 in Theorem 3.2 is therefore satisfied.

The case $\alpha > 1$, $\delta' + 2\alpha < \delta$, can be obtained by induction as explained at the end of Subsection 4.1. \square

5 An application of the 1-intertwining

Let $\beta > 0$. We consider a Markovian Feller semigroup: $P = (P_t)$, on \mathbb{R}_+ , that we assume to be semi-stable of order β (Lamperti [11]):

$$\forall a > 0, \forall t \geq 0, \forall f \in E, \quad P_{at}f(x) = P_t(\tau_{a^\beta}f)(a^{-\beta}x)$$

where $\tau_{a^\beta}f(x) = f(a^\beta x)$. We denote by $((X_t), (\mathbb{P}_x))$ the process associated with P . Moreover, we assume that there exists a Markovian Feller semigroup: $Q = (Q_t)$, on \mathbb{R}_+ , which is also semi-stable of order β , and such that the pair (P, Q) is 1-intertwined.

We set, for $0 \leq x < y$ and $t \geq 0$,

$$F_x^y(t) = \mathbb{P}_x(X_t \geq y).$$

Theorem 5.1 *Let $0 \leq x < y$. We have:*

- i) $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} F_x^y(t) = 0$.*
- ii) If $\mathbb{P}_0(X_1 = 0) = 0$, then $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} F_x^y(t) = 1$.*
- iii) If, for any $t > 0$, P_t and Q_t admit densities p_t and q_t which are continuous on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+^*$, then*

$$\forall t > 0 \quad \frac{d}{dt} F_x^y(t) = \frac{\beta}{t} [y p_t(x, y) - x q_t(x, y)].$$

Proof

- i)** As F_x^y is a nonnegative u.s.c. function on \mathbb{R}_+ and $F_x^y(0) = 0$, clearly property *i*) holds.
- ii)** Let, for $\varepsilon > 0$, g_ε be a nonnegative decreasing continuous function which vanishes on $[y + \varepsilon, +\infty)$ and is equal to 1 on $[0, y]$. We have:

$$F_x^y(t) \geq 1 - P_t g_\varepsilon(x) = 1 - P_1[\tau_{t^\beta} g_\varepsilon](t^{-\beta} x).$$

As g_ε is decreasing, for any $s > 0$,

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} F_x^y(t) \geq 1 - P_1[\tau_{s^\beta} g_\varepsilon](0).$$

Taking the limit for s tending to infinity, we get

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} F_x^y(t) \geq 1 - \mathbb{P}_0(X_1 = 0) = 1.$$

iii) Let $0 < \varepsilon < y - x$ and f_ε be a nonnegative decreasing C^1 -function which vanishes on $[y, +\infty)$ and is equal to 1 on $[0, y - \varepsilon]$. We set

$$F_\varepsilon(t) := 1 - P_t f_\varepsilon(x) = 1 - P_1[\tau_{t^\beta} f_\varepsilon](t^{-\beta} x).$$

Using the 1-intertwining, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} F'_\varepsilon(t) &= \beta t^{-1} x Q_1[\tau_{t^\beta} f'_\varepsilon](t^{-\beta} x) - \beta t^{-1} P_1[\tau_{t^\beta}(Y f'_\varepsilon)](t^{-\beta} x) \\ &= \frac{\beta}{t} [x Q_t(f'_\varepsilon)(x) - P_t(Y f'_\varepsilon)(x)] \end{aligned}$$

where Y denotes the identity function: $Y(x) = x$. Property *iii*) follows, taking the limit for ε tending to 0.

□

The following corollary is an approach to the problem of existence of pseudo-inverses.

Corollary 5.2 *Suppose that the hypotheses in *iii*) of Theorem 5.1 hold and, moreover,*

$$\exists m \in [0, 1], \forall (u, v) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+^*, \quad v^m p_t(u, v) - u^m q_t(u, v) \geq 0. \quad (12)$$

Then, for $0 \leq x < y$, F_x^y is the distribution function of a random variable Y_x^y on \mathbb{R}_+ whose density is:

$$t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \longrightarrow \frac{\beta}{t} [y p_t(x, y) - x q_t(x, y)].$$

[$(Y_x^y)_{y>x}$ is a pseudo-inverse of the process X in the sense of Madan-Roysette-Yor[12] and Roysette-Yor [15].]

Proof Under the condition (12), we have, for $0 \leq x < y$,

$$y p_t(x, y) \geq y^{1-m} x^m q_t(x, y) \geq x q_t(x, y),$$

which proves, by Theorem 5.1, that F_x^y is an increasing function and, therefore, a distribution function. □

Example Suppose $(P, Q) = (Q^\delta, Q^{\delta+2})$ as in Example 4.1.1. We also assume $\delta \geq 1$. Then the hypotheses stated at the beginning of this section are fulfilled with $\beta = 1$. The hypotheses of Corollary 5.2 are also satisfied

with $m = 1/2$. This is equivalent to the inequality $I_{\nu+1} \leq I_\nu$ on \mathbb{R}_+ , with $\nu = (\delta/2) - 1 \geq -1/2$ and $I_{\nu+1}$ (resp. I_ν) denoting as usual the modified Bessel function of index $\nu + 1$ (resp. ν). This inequality is well-known and can be proved by different methods. We have for example, for $\delta > 1$,

$$\frac{I_{\nu+1}}{I_\nu} \left(\frac{\sqrt{xy}}{t} \right) = \mathbb{E}_x \left(\exp \left[-\frac{\delta-1}{2} \int_0^t \frac{1}{X_s} ds \right] \mid X_t = y \right)$$

where X is the squared Bessel process of dimension δ (see Yor [16], and also Hirsch-Song [7]).

The above example is studied in detail in Roynette-Yor [15].

References

- [1] A.V. BALAKRISHNAN Fractional powers of closed operators and the semi-groups generated by them, Pacific J. Math., 10 (1960), 419-437.
- [2] Ph. BIANE Intertwining of Markov semigroups, some examples, in: *Séminaire de Probabilités XXIX*, p. 30-36, Lecture Notes in Math. 1613, Springer, Berlin, 1995.
- [3] P. CARMONA, F. PETIT, M. YOR On Exponential Functionals of Certain Lévy Processes, Stochastics and Stochastic Rep., 47 (1994), 71-101.
- [4] P. CARMONA, F. PETIT, M. YOR Beta-gamma random variables and intertwining relations between certain Markov processes, Revista Matemática Iberoamericana, 14-2 (1998), 311-367.
- [5] F. HIRSCH Domaines d'opérateurs représentés comme intégrales de résolvantes, J. Functional Analysis, 23-3 (1976), 199-217.
- [6] F. HIRSCH Extension des propriétés des puissances fractionnaires, in: *Séminaire de Théorie du Potentiel Paris, No. 2*, p. 100-120, Lecture Notes in Math. 563, Springer, Berlin, 1976.
- [7] F. HIRSCH, S. SONG Two-parameter Bessel processes, Stochastic processes and their applications, 83 (1999), 187-209.
- [8] K. KAWAZU, S. WATANABE Branching processes with immigration and related limit theorems, Th. of Proba. and its Appl., XVI-1 (1971), 36-54.

- [9] H. KOMATSU Fractional powers of operators, II Interpolation spaces, Pacific J. Math., 21-1 (1967), 89-111.
- [10] H. KOMATSU Fractional powers of operators, III Negative powers, J. Math.Soc. Japan, 21-2 (1969), 205-228.
- [11] J. LAMPERTI Semi-stable Markov processes I, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Geb., 22 (1972), 205-225.
- [12] D. MADAN, B. ROYNETTE, M. YOR Put option prices as joint distribution functions in strike and maturity : the Black-Scholes case, *Prépublication IECN Nancy*, 41 (2008).
- [13] H.MATSUMOTO, M. YOR An analogue of Pitman's $2M - X$ theorem for exponential Wiener functionals, I, A time inversion approach, Nagoya Math. J., 159 (2000), 125-166.
- [14] H. MATSUMOTO, M. YOR An analogue of Pitman's $2M - X$ theorem for exponential Wiener functionals, II, The role of the generalized inverse Gaussian laws, Nagoya Math. J., 162 (2001), 65-86.
- [15] B. ROYNETTE, M. YOR Existence and properties of pseudo-inverses for Bessel and related processes, *Prépublication IECN Nancy*, 50 (2008).
- [16] M. YOR Loi de l'indice du lacet brownien et distribution de Hartman-Watson, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Geb., 53 (1980), 71-95.
- [17] M. YOR Une extension markovienne de l'algèbre des lois beta-gamma, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Série I, 308 (1989), 257-260.