

Dynamic aspects of smile models

Lorenzo Bergomi

Equity Derivatives Quantitative Research lorenzo.bergomi@socgen.com



Talk Outline

What would we like the model to accomplish?

A review of dynamic aspects of popular classes of models How to price / hedge in incomplete markets? Stochastic volatility - Heston Jumps Levy + stochastic vol. extensions

Conclusion - how could we improve on existing models?



What do we need models for ?

- The case of cliquets (even ATM)
- The case of path-dep cliquets
- What is the delta of a call?

What do we require from a model ?

- That it correctly captures the joint dynamics of spot / implied vols dynamics of ATM vols dynamics of skew spot / vol "correlation"
- That it fits today's implied vols reasonably well

Different approaches to generating implied vols dynamics

- Specifying dynamics on implied vols directly
- Specifying ad-hoc dynamics on the spot
- Other techniques (BGM-like spec. on forward variances, etc..)



Black-Scholes

- Delta is dP/dS. Delta strategy exactly generates payoff at maturity, with zero variance: there is just one price for an option
- Variance of final P&L is finite only because trading occurs at discrete dates (daily).

Other settings

• When there are jumps, or if volatility is stochastic final P&L has finite variance, even if trading occurs continuously. How do we price/ hedge an option?

For a European option with payoff function f, the discounted P&L to the seller reads:

$$P \& L = -e^{-rT} f(S_T) + \int e^{-rt} \Delta(S_t, t, t) (dS_t - (r-q)S_t dt) dt$$

The pricing criterion used here is to minimize the variance of the final P&L. The function Δ is obtained as the solution of a stochastic control problem. It is a function of *S*, *t*, and may depend on other "hidden" variables. The price of the option is then set to: P = -E[P & L]: "minimal risk" pricing.

Examples: two types of models

- Stochastic volatility
- Jumps / Levy processes



Stochastic volatility - Heston

The Heston dynamics reads:

$$dS = \mu S dt + \sqrt{V} S dW$$
$$dV = -k (V - V_0) dt + \sigma \sqrt{V} dZ$$

Imagine we have sold a European option. Let $m_{\Delta}(t, S, V)$ and $W_{\Delta}(t, S, V)$ be the expectation and variance of the final P&L, discounted at time *t*. They are solutions of the following coupled equations:

$$\frac{\partial m}{\partial t} + Lm - rm = -(\mu - r)S\Delta$$
$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial t} + LW - 2rW = -VS^2 \left(\Delta + \frac{\partial m}{\partial S} + \frac{\rho\sigma}{S}\frac{\partial m}{\partial V}\right)^2 - (1 - \rho^2)\sigma^2 V \left(\frac{\partial m}{\partial V}\right)^2$$

with

$$m(T, S, V) = -\operatorname{Payoff}(S_T)$$

$$W(T, S, V) = 0$$

$$L = \mu S \frac{\partial}{\partial S} - k(V - V_0) \frac{\partial}{\partial V} + \frac{1}{2} V S^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial S^2} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 V \frac{\partial^2}{\partial V^2} + \rho \sigma S V \frac{\partial^2}{\partial S \partial V}$$



Stochastic volatility - Heston

By (variationally) differentiating w.r.t. Δ , we get (1) the optimal delta and (2) the pricing equation:

$$\Delta = \frac{\partial P}{\partial S} + \frac{\rho \sigma}{S} \frac{\partial P}{\partial V}$$

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} + (r-q)S \frac{\partial P}{\partial S} - k(V-\overline{V_0})\frac{\partial P}{\partial V} + \frac{1}{2}VS^2 \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial S^2} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 V \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial V^2} + \rho\sigma SV \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial S\partial V} = rP$$

where $\overline{V_0} = V_0 - \frac{(\mu - r)\rho\sigma}{k}$ and P = -m

- Drift for spot is still financing cost as in B.S.
- *V_o* is renormalized but luckily pricing equation keeps usual form. Volatility degrees of freedom are partially hedged with the stock ⇒ impacts the drift for V.
- Variance of final P&L is now finite



Jumps

Now imagine that vol is not stochastic, but there is an additional jump process. Let J be the (random) magnitude of the jumps and λ their intensity. The equations for m and W read:

$$\frac{\partial m}{\partial t} + Lm - rm = -(\mu + \lambda \overline{J} - r)S\Delta$$

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial t} + LW - 2rW = -\Delta^2 S^2 (\sigma^2 + \lambda \overline{J}^2) - 2\Delta S \left(\lambda \overline{\delta m J} + \sigma^2 S \frac{\partial m}{\partial S}\right) - \sigma^2 S^2 \left(\frac{\partial m}{\partial S}\right)^2 - \lambda \overline{\delta m^2}$$

with

$$\delta m = m(S(1+J)) - m(S)$$

$$m(T,S,V) = -\text{Payoff}(S_T)$$

$$W(T,S,V) = 0$$

$$Lf = \mu S \frac{\partial f}{\partial S} + \lambda \overline{\delta f} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 S^2 \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial S^2}$$



Jumps
We get the delta
$$\Delta = \frac{\sigma^2 \frac{\partial P}{\partial S} + \lambda \frac{1}{S} \overline{\delta P J}}{\sigma^2 + \lambda \overline{J^2}}$$

For small jumps:
$$\Delta = \frac{\partial P}{\partial S} + \lambda \overline{J^3} S \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial S^2} + \dots$$

Plug the expression for delta in the equation for $m \Rightarrow we get a pricing equation in which the historical drift of the spot appears. This is to be expected as delta is different than dP/dS.$

Is it reasonable to take a position on the stock and bet on a value of the historical drift? - the "optimal" delta may not be optimal, since jumps are probably ill-specified with respect to the historical behavior of stock prices.

Let us then decide that the delta is dP/dS. The historical drift of the spot disappears from the pricing equation which now reads:

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} + (r - q - \lambda \overline{J})S\frac{\partial P}{\partial S} + \lambda \overline{\delta P} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 S^2\frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial S^2} = rP$$

This holds for Levy processes as well.



Heston - static

- Parameters: V, k, ρ, σ, V_0
- Model is homogeneous: $\hat{\sigma} = f\left(\frac{K}{F}, V\right)$

 $dS = \mu S dt + \sqrt{V} S dW$ $dV = -k (V - V_0) dt + \sigma \sqrt{V} dZ$

• Expansion in powers of vol of vol at order 1 yields:

Short term :
$$K \frac{\partial \hat{\sigma}}{\partial K}\Big|_{F} = \frac{\rho \sigma}{4\sqrt{V}}$$

Long term : $K \frac{\partial \hat{\sigma}}{\partial K}\Big|_{F} = \frac{\rho \sigma}{2kT\sqrt{V_{0}}}$

Crossover is set by mean-reversion time $\tau = 1/k$. For maturities longer than τ , increments of $\ln(S)$ become stationary and independent \Rightarrow skew decays as 1/T. For short-term, no explosion of the skew.

• Variance Swap vol equals Log Swap vol:
$$\hat{\sigma}_{VS}^2 = V_0 + (V - V_0) \frac{1 - e^{-kT}}{kT}$$



Heston 2

Heston - dynamic

 V, V_0, ρ, σ , are calibrated on market smiles. Daily fit of the S&P500 smile up to 1 year maturity -1/k is set equal to 6 months.





Heston 3

Heston - dynamic

• Parameters are determined by fitting implied vols every day. Only *k* is kept constant. Are their values in agreement with their dynamics? Look at following averages:

$$\frac{\overline{\delta S^2}}{S^2 V \delta t} = 1.02 \qquad \frac{\overline{\delta V^2}}{\sigma^2 V \delta t} = 0.52 \qquad \frac{\overline{\delta S \delta V}}{S V \rho \sigma \delta t} = 0.65$$

suggesting that $\frac{\sigma_{\text{implied}}}{\sigma_{\text{realized}}} \approx 1.4 \qquad \frac{\rho_{\text{implied}}}{\rho_{\text{realized}}} \approx 1.1$

• However look at graphs:



- → Dynamics of (short) implied vols is not in agreement with model's anticipation
- We may be asking too much from the vol of vol
- create a skew
- drive dynamics of implied vols



Heston - dynamic

• Dynamics of implied vols: look at variance swap variance

$$V_{VS} = V_0 + (V - V_0) \frac{1 - e^{-kT}}{kT}$$
$$dV_{VS} \propto \frac{1 - e^{-kT}}{kT} \sigma \sqrt{V} dZ$$

Term structure of variance of implied vols is controlled by k. For maturities T >> 1/k, vols do not move.

In stationary regime
$$E[(V_t - V_0)(V_t - V_0)] = \frac{\sigma^2 V_0}{2k} e^{-k|t-t'|}$$
Variance of variance swap vol is:
decays like 1/T for long maturities
$$Var\left(\frac{1}{T}\int_{t}^{t+T}V_u du\right) = \frac{\sigma^2 V_0}{2k}\frac{2}{(kT)^2}\left[kT - 1 + e^{-kT}\right]$$

We may need more than 1 factor on vol to control the term structure of the variance of implied vols.



Heston - dynamic - forward smile

• Look at forward smile

Set $V = V_0 = 0.1$, $\sigma = 0.7$, $\rho = -0.7$, k = 2





- Shape of forward smile is generated by:
 - density of V at forward date
 - dependence of smile on value of inst. variance





Jumps

Jumps

- Skew decays like 1/T
- Smile is static implied vols (as a function of moneyness) are frozen. Forward smiles are the same as today's smile.

- Jumps / Levy processes are a neat trick for generating a skew without extra degrees of freedom
- However, be careful about prices of very path-dependent options

Ex. variance swaps: should we use

- the Variance Swap vol?
- the Log Swap vol?



Levy processes and stochastic vol extensions (D. Madan, P. Carr et al.)

- Pick your favorite Levy process
- Replace physical time with the integral of some positive process:

$$t \longrightarrow \int \lambda_u du \qquad \overline{\lambda_u} = t$$
$$dt = \lambda_u du$$

• What kind of dynamics does this generate for implied vols?

ist order perturbation in skewness of ditribution of
$$\ln(S_T)$$
 yields:

$$\hat{\sigma}_{ATM} \approx \sqrt{\frac{\text{Variance}}{T}}$$

$$K \frac{\partial \hat{\sigma}}{\partial K}\Big|_{ATM} \approx \frac{\text{Skewness}}{6\sqrt{T}}$$
For short maturities Variance $\propto \lambda$, Skewness $\propto \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$
i.e. $K \frac{\partial \hat{\sigma}}{\partial K}\Big|_{ATM} \propto \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}_{F}}$

Structural constraint on the dynamics of the smile



Conclusion - how do we improve on existing models ?

- Set priorities: behavior of skew w.r.t. ATM vol correlation with spot
 - term stucture of variance of implied vols
 - impact of misspecification of process for the spot

- Need some kind of stoc. vol. probably more than 1 factor needed
- Modelling choices directly modelling spot process has advantages
 - no arbitrage in forward smiles
 - process for the spot is under control
 - delta is an explicit output of pricing model

• Ultimately reliable prices and hedges !!