

Credit Risk, I.

MLV, November 2007-2008

I. Hazard Process Approach of Credit Risk: A Toy Model

1. The Model
2. Toy Model and Martingales
3. Valuation and Trading Defaultable Claims

The Model

The Market

We begin with the case where a riskless asset, with **deterministic** interest rate $(r(s); s \geq 0)$ is the only asset available in the default-free market.

$$R(t) = \exp \left(- \int_0^t r(s) ds \right)$$

The Market

We begin with the case where a riskless asset, with **deterministic** interest rate $(r(s); s \geq 0)$ is the only asset available in the default-free market.

$$R(t) = \exp \left(- \int_0^t r(s) ds \right)$$

The time- t price $B(t, T)$ of a risk-free zero-coupon bond with maturity T is

$$B(t, T) \stackrel{def}{=} \exp \left(- \int_t^T r(s) ds \right) .$$

Default occurs at time τ , where τ is assumed to be a positive random variable with density f , constructed on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, \mathbb{P})$.

$$F(t) = \mathbb{P}(\tau \leq t) = \int_0^t f(s) ds .$$

We assume that $F(t) < 1, \forall t$

Defaultable Zero-coupon with Payment at Maturity

A **defaultable zero-coupon** bond (DZC in short)- or a corporate bond- with maturity T and rebate δ paid at maturity, consists of

- The payment of one monetary unit at time T if default has not occurred before time T ,
- A payment of δ monetary units, made at maturity, if $\tau < T$, where $0 \leq \delta < 1$.

Value of the defaultable zero-coupon bond

The “value” of the defaultable zero-coupon bond is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} D^{(\delta, T)}(0, T) &= \mathbb{E} \left(B(0, T) (\mathbb{1}_{\{T < \tau\}} + \delta \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \leq T\}}) \right) \\ &= B(0, T) (1 - (1 - \delta)F(T)) . \end{aligned}$$

Value of the defaultable zero-coupon bond

The “value” of the defaultable zero-coupon bond is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} D^{(\delta, T)}(0, T) &= \mathbb{E} \left(B(0, T) (\mathbb{1}_{\{T < \tau\}} + \delta \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \leq T\}}) \right) \\ &= B(0, T) (1 - (1 - \delta)F(T)) . \end{aligned}$$

The value $D^{(\delta, T)}(t, T)$ of the DZC is the conditional expectation of the discounted payoff $B(t, T) [\mathbb{1}_{\{T < \tau\}} + \delta \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \leq T\}}]$ given the information:

$$D^{(\delta, T)}(t, T) = \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}} B(t, T) \delta + \mathbb{1}_{\{t < \tau\}} \tilde{D}^{(\delta, T)}(t, T)$$

Value of the defaultable zero-coupon bond

The “value” of the defaultable zero-coupon bond is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} D^{(\delta, T)}(0, T) &= \mathbb{E} \left(B(0, T) (\mathbb{1}_{\{T < \tau\}} + \delta \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \leq T\}}) \right) \\ &= B(0, T) (1 - (1 - \delta)F(T)) . \end{aligned}$$

The value $D^{(\delta, T)}(t, T)$ of the DZC is the conditional expectation of the discounted payoff $B(t, T) [\mathbb{1}_{\{T < \tau\}} + \delta \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \leq T\}}]$ given the information:

$$D^{(\delta, T)}(t, T) = \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}} B(t, T) \delta + \mathbb{1}_{\{t < \tau\}} \tilde{D}^{(\delta, T)}(t, T)$$

where the **predefault value** $\tilde{D}^{(\delta, T)}(t, T)$ is defined as

$$\tilde{D}^{(\delta, T)}(t, T) = \mathbb{E} \left(B(t, T) (\mathbb{1}_{\{T < \tau\}} + \delta \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \leq T\}}) \mid t < \tau \right)$$

$$\tilde{D}^{(\delta)}(t, T) = \mathbb{E}(B(t, T) (\mathbf{1}_{\{T < \tau\}} + \delta \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq T\}}) \mid t < \tau)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{D}^{(\delta)}(t, T) &= \mathbb{E}(B(t, T) (\mathbf{1}_{\{T < \tau\}} + \delta \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq T\}}) | t < \tau) \\ &= B(t, T) (1 - (1 - \delta) \mathbb{P}(\tau \leq T | t < \tau))\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{D}^{(\delta, T)}(t, T) &= \mathbb{E}(B(t, T) (\mathbf{1}_{\{T < \tau\}} + \delta \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq T\}}) | t < \tau) \\
&= B(t, T) (1 - (1 - \delta) \mathbb{P}(\tau \leq T | t < \tau)) \\
&= B(t, T) \left(1 - (1 - \delta) \frac{\mathbb{P}(t < \tau \leq T)}{\mathbb{P}(t < \tau)} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{D}^{(\delta)}(t, T) &= \mathbb{E}(B(t, T) (\mathbf{1}_{\{T < \tau\}} + \delta \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq T\}}) \mid t < \tau) \\
&= B(t, T) (1 - (1 - \delta) \mathbb{P}(\tau \leq T \mid t < \tau)) \\
&= B(t, T) \left(1 - (1 - \delta) \frac{\mathbb{P}(t < \tau \leq T)}{\mathbb{P}(t < \tau)} \right) \\
&= B(t, T) \left(1 - (1 - \delta) \frac{F(T) - F(t)}{1 - F(t)} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

The formula

$$\tilde{D}^{(\delta, T)}(t, T) = B(t, T) - B(t, T)(1 - \delta) \frac{\mathbb{P}(t < \tau \leq T)}{\mathbb{P}(t < \tau)}$$

can be read as

$$\tilde{D}^{(\delta, T)}(t, T) = B(t, T) - \text{EDLGD} \times \text{DP}$$

The formula

$$\tilde{D}^{(\delta, T)}(t, T) = B(t, T) - B(t, T)(1 - \delta) \frac{\mathbb{P}(t < \tau \leq T)}{\mathbb{P}(t < \tau)}$$

can be read as

$$\tilde{D}^{(\delta, T)}(t, T) = B(t, T) - \text{EDLGD} \times \text{DP}$$

where the **Expected Discounted Loss Given Default** (EDLGD) is defined as $B(t, T)(1 - \delta)$ and the **Default Probability** (DP) is

$$DP = \frac{\mathbb{P}(t < \tau \leq T)}{\mathbb{P}(t < \tau)} = \mathbb{P}(\tau \leq T | t < \tau) .$$

In case the payment is a function of the default time, say $\delta(\tau)$, the value of this defaultable zero-coupon is

$$\begin{aligned} D^{(\delta, T)}(0, T) &= \mathbb{E} \left(B(0, T) \mathbb{1}_{\{T < \tau\}} + B(0, T) \delta(\tau) \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \leq T\}} \right) \\ &= B(0, T) \left[\mathbb{P}(T < \tau) + \int_0^T \delta(s) f(s) ds \right]. \end{aligned}$$

In case the payment is a function of the default time, say $\delta(\tau)$, the value of this defaultable zero-coupon is

$$\begin{aligned} D^{(\delta,T)}(0, T) &= \mathbb{E} \left(B(0, T) \mathbb{1}_{\{T < \tau\}} + B(0, T) \delta(\tau) \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \leq T\}} \right) \\ &= B(0, T) \left[\mathbb{P}(T < \tau) + \int_0^T \delta(s) f(s) ds \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The predefault price $\tilde{D}^{(\delta,T)}(t, T)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{D}^{(\delta,T)}(t, T) &= B(t, T) \mathbb{E} \left(\mathbb{1}_{\{T < \tau\}} + \delta(\tau) \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \leq T\}} \mid t < \tau \right) \\ &= B(t, T) \left[\frac{\mathbb{P}(T < \tau)}{\mathbb{P}(t < \tau)} + \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}(t < \tau)} \int_t^T \delta(s) f(s) ds \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We introduce the increasing **hazard function** Γ defined by

$$\Gamma(t) = -\ln(1 - F(t))$$

and its derivative $\gamma(t) = \frac{f(t)}{1 - F(t)}$ where $f(t) = F'(t)$, i.e.,

$$1 - F(t) = e^{-\Gamma(t)} = \exp\left(-\int_0^t \gamma(s) ds\right) = \mathbb{P}(\tau > t).$$

We introduce the increasing **hazard function** Γ defined by

$$\Gamma(t) = -\ln(1 - F(t))$$

and its derivative $\gamma(t) = \frac{f(t)}{1 - F(t)}$ where $f(t) = F'(t)$, i.e.,

$$1 - F(t) = e^{-\Gamma(t)} = \exp\left(-\int_0^t \gamma(s) ds\right) = \mathbb{P}(\tau > t).$$

The quantity $\gamma(t)$ called the **hazard rate** is the probability that the default occurs in a small interval dt given that the default has not occurred before time t

$$\gamma(t) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} P(\tau \leq t + h | \tau > t).$$

For $\delta = 0$,

$$\tilde{D}(t, T) = \exp \left(- \int_t^T (r + \gamma)(s) ds \right)$$

in other terms, the spot rate has to be adjusted by means of a **spread** (γ) in order to evaluate DZCs.

Defaultable Zero-coupon with Payment at Hit

Here, a defaultable zero-coupon bond with maturity T consists of

- The payment of one monetary unit at time T if default has not yet occurred,
- A payment of $\delta(\tau)$ monetary units, where δ is a deterministic function, made at time τ if $\tau < T$.

Here, we do not assume that F is differentiable.

Value of the defaultable zero-coupon

The value of this defaultable zero-coupon bond is

$$\begin{aligned} D^{(\delta)}(0, T) &= \mathbb{E}(B(0, T) \mathbb{1}_{\{T < \tau\}} + B(0, \tau) \delta(\tau) \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \leq T\}}) \\ &= G(T)B(0, T) - \int_0^T B(0, s) \delta(s) dG(s), \end{aligned}$$

where $G(t) = 1 - F(t) = \mathbb{P}(t < \tau)$ is the **survival probability**.

For $t < T$,

$$D^{(\delta)}(t, T) = \mathbb{1}_{t < \tau} \tilde{D}^{(\delta)}(t, T)$$

where $\tilde{D}^{(\delta)}(t, T)$ is called the **predefault price** defined by

$$\begin{aligned} B(0, t) \tilde{D}^{(\delta)}(t, T) &= \mathbb{E}(B(0, T) \mathbb{1}_{\{T < \tau\}} + B(0, \tau) \delta(\tau) \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \leq T\}} | t < \tau) \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{P}(T < \tau)}{\mathbb{P}(t < \tau)} B(0, T) + \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}(t < \tau)} \int_t^T B(0, s) \delta(s) dF(s). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$B(0, t) G(t) \tilde{D}^{(\delta)}(t, T) = G(T) B(0, T) - \int_t^T B(0, s) \delta(s) dG(s).$$

In terms of the hazard function, the time- t value $\tilde{D}^{(\delta)}(t, T)$ satisfies:

$$B(0, t)e^{-\Gamma(t)}\tilde{D}^{(\delta)}(t, T) = e^{-\Gamma(T)}B(0, T) + \int_t^T B(0, s)e^{-\Gamma(s)}\delta(s)d\Gamma(s).$$

A particular case If F is differentiable, the function $\gamma = \Gamma'$ satisfies $f(t) = \gamma(t)e^{-\Gamma(t)}$. Then,

$$R_d(t)\tilde{D}^{(\delta)}(t, T) = R_d(T) + \int_t^T R_d(s)\gamma(s)\delta(s)ds$$

with

$$R_d(t) = \exp\left(-\int_0^t (r(s) + \gamma(s)) ds\right)$$

The defaultable interest rate is $r + \gamma$ and is, as expected, greater than r (the value of a DZC with $\delta = 0$ is smaller than the value of a default-free zero-coupon).

The dynamics of $\tilde{D}^{(\delta)}(t, T)$ are

$$d\tilde{D}^{(\delta)}(t, T) = (r(t) + \gamma(t))\tilde{D}^{(\delta)}(t, T)dt - \delta(t)\gamma(t)dt.$$

The dynamics of $D^{(\delta)}$ includes a jump at time τ .

Spreads

A term structure of credit spreads associated with the zero-coupon bonds $S(t, T)$ is defined as

$$S(t, T) = -\frac{1}{T-t} \ln \frac{D(t, T)}{B(t, T)}.$$

In our setting, on the set $\{\tau > t\}$

$$S(t, T) = -\frac{1}{T-t} \ln \mathbb{Q}^*(\tau > T | \tau > t),$$

whereas $S(t, T) = \infty$ on the set $\{\tau \leq t\}$.

Toy Model and Martingales

We denote by $(H_t, t \geq 0)$ the right-continuous increasing process

$H_t = \mathbb{1}_{\{t \geq \tau\}}$ and by (\mathcal{H}_t) its natural filtration. Any integrable

\mathcal{H}_t -measurable r.v. H is of the form

$H = h(\tau \wedge t) = h(\tau)\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}} + h(t)\mathbb{1}_{\{t < \tau\}}$ where h is a Borel function.

Key Lemma

If X is any integrable, \mathcal{G} -measurable r.v.

$$\mathbb{E}(X|\mathcal{H}_t)\mathbb{1}_{\{t < \tau\}} = \mathbb{1}_{\{t < \tau\}} \frac{\mathbb{E}(X\mathbb{1}_{\{t < \tau\}})}{\mathbb{P}(t < \tau)}.$$

Key Lemma

If X is any integrable, \mathcal{G} -measurable r.v.

$$\mathbb{E}(X|\mathcal{H}_t)\mathbb{1}_{\{t<\tau\}} = \mathbb{1}_{\{t<\tau\}} \frac{\mathbb{E}(X\mathbb{1}_{\{t<\tau\}})}{\mathbb{P}(t < \tau)}.$$

Let $Y = h(\tau)$ be a \mathcal{H} -measurable random variable. Then

$$\mathbb{E}(Y|\mathcal{H}_t) = \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}}h(\tau) + \mathbb{1}_{\{t < \tau\}} \int_t^\infty h(u)e^{\Gamma(t)-\Gamma(u)}d\Gamma(u)$$

An important Martingale

The process $(M_t, t \geq 0)$ defined as

$$M_t = H_t - \int_0^{\tau \wedge t} \frac{dF(s)}{1 - F(s)} = H_t - \int_0^t (1 - H_{s-}) \frac{dF(s)}{1 - F(s)}$$

is a **H**-martingale.

Hazard Function

The **hazard function** is

$$\Gamma(t) = -\ln(1 - F(t)) = \int_0^t \frac{dF(s)}{1 - F(s)}$$

In particular, if F is differentiable, the process

$$M_t = H_t - \int_0^{\tau \wedge t} \gamma(s) ds = H_t - \int_0^t \gamma(s)(1 - H_s) ds$$

is a martingale, where $\gamma(s) = \frac{f(s)}{1 - F(s)}$ is a deterministic non-negative function, called **the intensity of** τ .

The **Doob-Meyer decomposition** of the **submartingale** H_t is

$$H_t = M_t + \Gamma(t \wedge \tau)$$

The predictable process $A_t = \Gamma_{t \wedge \tau}$ is called the **compensator** of H .

The process

$$L_t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} \exp \left(\int_0^t \gamma(s) ds \right)$$

is a \mathbf{H} -martingale.

PROOF: We shall give 3 different arguments, each of which constitutes a proof.

a) Since the function γ is deterministic, for $t > s$

$$\mathbb{E}(L_t|\mathcal{H}_s) = \exp\left(\int_0^t \gamma(u)du\right) \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{1}_{\{t < \tau\}}|\mathcal{H}_s).$$

From the Key Lemma

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{1}_{\{t < \tau\}}|\mathcal{H}_s) = \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau > s\}} \frac{1 - F(t)}{1 - F(s)} = \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau > s\}} \exp(-\Gamma(t) + \Gamma(s)).$$

Hence,

$$\mathbb{E}(L_t|\mathcal{H}_s) = \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau > s\}} \exp\left(\int_0^s \gamma(u)du\right) = L_s.$$

b) Another method is to apply integration by parts formula to the process $L_t = (1 - H_t) \exp \left(\int_0^t \gamma(s) ds \right)$. If U and V are two finite variation processes, Stieltjes' integration by parts formula can be written as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 U(t)V(t) &= U(0)V(0) + \int_{]0,t]} V(s-)dU(s) + \int_{]0,t]} U(s-)dV(s) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{s \leq t} \Delta U(s) \Delta V(s).
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 dL_t &= -dH_t \exp \left(\int_0^t \gamma(s) ds \right) + \gamma(t) \exp \left(\int_0^t \gamma(s) ds \right) (1 - H_t) dt \\
 &= -\exp \left(\int_0^t \gamma(s) ds \right) dM_t.
 \end{aligned}$$

c) A third (sophisticated) method is to note that L is the exponential martingale of M , i.e., the solution of the SDE

$$dL_t = -L_{t-}dM_t, L_0 = 1.$$

△

In the case where N is an inhomogeneous Poisson process with deterministic intensity λ and τ is the first time when N jumps, let $H_t = N_{t \wedge \tau}$. It is well known that $N_t - \int_0^t \lambda(s) ds$ is a martingale (see Appendix). Therefore, the process stopped at time τ is also a martingale, i.e., $H_t - \int_0^{t \wedge \tau} \lambda(s) ds$ is a martingale.

Change of probability

Let \mathbb{P}^* be a probability equivalent to \mathbb{P} on the space (Ω, \mathcal{H}) where $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_\infty$ is the σ -algebra generated by τ . Then,

$$d\mathbb{P}^* = h(\tau) d\mathbb{P}$$

where h is a strictly positive function, such that $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}(h(\tau)) = 1$. Let

$$g(t) = e^{\Gamma(t)} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}(\mathbb{1}_{t < \tau} h(\tau)).$$

Let $\Gamma^*(t) = -\ln P^*(\tau > t)$. If Γ is continuous, Γ^* is continuous and

$$d\Gamma^*(t) = \frac{h(t)}{g(t)} d\Gamma(t)$$

Proof:

$$\mathbb{P}^*(\tau > t) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}(\mathbf{1}_{t > \tau} h(\tau)) = \int_t^{\infty} h(u) dF(u) = e^{-\Gamma^*(t)}$$

Hence

$$e^{-\Gamma^*(t)} d\Gamma^*(t) = h(t) dF(t) = h(t) e^{-\Gamma(t)} d\Gamma(t)$$

Therefore

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}(\mathbf{1}_{t < \tau} h(\tau)) d\Gamma^*(t) = h(t) e^{-\Gamma(t)} d\Gamma(t)$$

It follows that

$$d\Gamma^*(t) = \frac{h(t)}{e^{\Gamma(t)} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}(\mathbf{1}_{t < \tau} h(\tau))} d\Gamma(t) = \frac{h(t)}{g(t)} d\Gamma(t)$$

Exercices: Let $\eta_t = \mathbb{E}_P(h(\tau)|\mathcal{H}_t)$. Prove that

$$\eta_t = \int_0^t h(s)dH_s + (1 - H_t)g(t)$$

Prove that the martingale η admits a representation in terms of M as

$$\eta_t = 1 + \int_0^t (h(u) - \eta_{u-})dM_u$$

and that an explicit representation is

$$\eta_t = (1 + \mathbb{1}_{\tau \leq t}k(\tau)) \exp\left(-\int_0^{t \wedge \tau} k(u)d\Gamma(u)\right)$$

where $k(t) = \frac{h(t)}{g(t)} - 1$

Incompleteness of the Toy model

If the market consists only of the risk-free zero-coupon bond, there exists infinitely many e.m.m's. The discounted asset prices are constant, hence the set \mathcal{Q} of equivalent martingale measures is the set of probabilities equivalent to the historical one. For any $\mathbb{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}$, we denote by $F_{\mathbb{Q}}$ the cumulative function of τ under \mathbb{Q} , i.e.,

$$F_{\mathbb{Q}}(t) = \mathbb{Q}(\tau \leq t).$$

The **range of prices** is defined as the set of prices which do not induce arbitrage opportunities. For a DZC with a constant rebate δ paid at maturity, the range of prices is equal to the set

$$\{\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}(B(0, T)(\mathbb{1}_{\{T < \tau\}} + \delta \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau < T\}})), \mathbb{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}\}.$$

This set is exactly the interval $]\delta R_T, R_T[$.

Risk Neutral Probability Measures

It is usual to interpret the absence of arbitrage opportunities as the existence of an e.m.m. . If DZCs are traded, their prices are *given by the market*, and the equivalent martingale measure Q , *chosen by the market*, is such that, on the set $\{t < \tau\}$,

$$D(t, T) = B(t, T)\mathbb{E}_Q\left([\mathbf{1}_{T < \tau} + \delta\mathbf{1}_{t < \tau \leq T}] \mid t < \tau\right).$$

Therefore, we can characterize the cumulative function of τ under Q from the market prices of the DZC as follows.

Zero Recovery If a DZC with zero recovery of maturity T is traded at a price $D(t, T)$ which belongs to the interval $]0, R_T^t[$, then, under any risk-neutral probability \mathbb{Q} , the process $R(t)D(t, T)$ is a martingale, the following equality holds

$$D(t, T)B(0, t) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}(B(0, T)\mathbb{1}_{\{T < \tau\}} | \mathcal{H}_t) = B(0, T)\mathbb{1}_{\{t < \tau\}} \exp\left(-\int_t^T \gamma^{\mathbb{Q}}(s)ds\right)$$

where $\gamma^{\mathbb{Q}}(s) = \frac{dF_{\mathbb{Q}}(s)/ds}{1 - F_{\mathbb{Q}}(s)}$. The process $\gamma^{\mathbb{Q}}$ is the \mathbb{Q} -intensity of τ .

Therefore the unique risk-neutral intensity can be obtained from the prices of DZCs as

$$r(t) + \gamma^{\mathbb{Q}}(t) = -\partial_T \ln D(t, T)|_{T=t}$$

Fixed Payment at maturity If the prices of DZCs with different maturities are known, then)

$$\frac{B(0, T) - D(0, T)}{B(0, T)(1 - \delta)} = F_Q(T)$$

where $F_Q(t) = Q(\tau \leq t)$, so that the law of τ is known under the e.m.m..

Payment at hit In this case, denoting by $\partial_T D$ the derivative of the value of the DZC at time 0 with respect to the maturity, we obtain

$$\partial_T D(0, T) = g(T)B(0, T) - G(T)B(0, T)r(T) - \delta(T)g(T)B(0, T),$$

where $g(t) = G'(t)$. Therefore, solving this equation leads to

$$Q(\tau > t) = G(t) = \Delta(t) \left[1 + \int_0^t \partial_T D(0, s) \frac{1}{B(0, s)(1 - \delta(s))} (\Delta(s))^{-1} ds \right],$$

where $\Delta(t) = \exp \left(\int_0^t \frac{r(u)}{1 - \delta(u)} du \right)$.

Representation Theorem

Let h be a (bounded) Borel function. Then, the martingale $M_t^h = \mathbb{E}(h(\tau)|\mathcal{H}_t)$ admits the representation

$$\mathbb{E}(h(\tau)|\mathcal{H}_t) = \mathbb{E}(h(\tau)) - \int_0^{t \wedge \tau} (\tilde{h}(s) - h(s)) dM_s,$$

where $M_t = H_t - \Gamma(t \wedge \tau)$ and $\tilde{h}(s) = -\frac{\int_t^\infty h(u)dG(u)}{G(t)}$.

Representation Theorem

Let h be a (bounded) Borel function. Then, the martingale $M_t^h = \mathbb{E}(h(\tau)|\mathcal{H}_t)$ admits the representation

$$\mathbb{E}(h(\tau)|\mathcal{H}_t) = \mathbb{E}(h(\tau)) - \int_0^{t \wedge \tau} (\tilde{h}(s) - h(s)) dM_s,$$

where $M_t = H_t - \Gamma(t \wedge \tau)$ and $\tilde{h}(s) = -\frac{\int_t^\infty h(u)dG(u)}{G(t)}$.

Note that $\tilde{h}(s) = M_s^h$ on $s < \tau$.

Representation Theorem

Let h be a (bounded) Borel function. Then, the martingale $M_t^h = \mathbb{E}(h(\tau)|\mathcal{H}_t)$ admits the representation

$$\mathbb{E}(h(\tau)|\mathcal{H}_t) = \mathbb{E}(h(\tau)) - \int_0^{t \wedge \tau} (\tilde{h}(s) - h(s)) dM_s,$$

where $M_t = H_t - \Gamma(t \wedge \tau)$ and $\tilde{h}(s) = -\frac{\int_t^\infty h(u)dG(u)}{G(t)}$.

Note that $\tilde{h}(s) = M_s^h$ on $s < \tau$.

In particular, any square integrable \mathcal{H} -martingale $(X_t, t \geq 0)$ can be written as $X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t x_s dM_s$ where $(x_t, t \geq 0)$ is a predictable process.

PROOF: A proof consists in computing the conditional expectation

$$\mathbb{E}(h(\tau)|\mathcal{H}_t) = h(\tau)H_t + (1 - H_t)e^{-\Gamma(t)} \int_t^\infty h(s)dF(s)$$

and to use integration by parts formula.

Partial information: Duffie and Lando's model

Duffie and Lando study the case where $\tau = \inf\{t : V_t \leq m\}$ where V satisfies

$$dV_t = \mu(t, V_t)dt + \sigma(t, V_t)dW_t.$$

Partial information: Duffie and Lando's model

Duffie and Lando study the case where $\tau = \inf\{t : V_t \leq m\}$ where V satisfies

$$dV_t = \mu(t, V_t)dt + \sigma(t, V_t)dW_t.$$

Here the process W is a Brownian motion. If the information is the Brownian filtration, the time τ is a stopping time w.r.t. a Brownian filtration, therefore is predictable and admits no intensity.

Partial information: Duffie and Lando's model

Duffie and Lando study the case where $\tau = \inf\{t : V_t \leq m\}$ where V satisfies

$$dV_t = \mu(t, V_t)dt + \sigma(t, V_t)dW_t.$$

Here the process W is a Brownian motion. If the information is the Brownian filtration, the time τ is a stopping time w.r.t. a Brownian filtration, therefore is predictable and admits no intensity. If the agent does not know the behavior of V , but only the minimal information \mathcal{H}_t , i.e. he knows when the default appears, the price of a zero-coupon is, in

the case where the default is not yet occurred, $\exp\left(-\int_t^T \gamma(s)ds\right)$

where $\gamma(s) = \frac{f(s)}{G(s)}$ and $G(s) = \mathbb{P}(\tau > s)$, $f = -G'$, as soon as the cumulative function of τ is differentiable.

Valuation and Trading Defaultable Claims

We assume that the market has chosen a riskneutral probability \mathbb{Q} and that M and γ are computed w.r.t. \mathbb{Q} . We assume here that the interest rate r is constant.

We assume that the market has chosen a riskneutral probability \mathbb{Q} and that M and γ are computed w.r.t. \mathbb{Q} . We assume here that the interest rate r is constant.

Price dynamics of a survival claim $(X, 0, \tau)$.

Let $(X, 0, \tau)$ be a *survival claim*. The price of the payoff $\mathbb{1}_{\{T < \tau\}}X$ that settles at time T is

$$Y_t = e^{rt} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{1}_{\{T < \tau\}} e^{-rT} X \mid \mathcal{H}_t).$$

We assume that the market has chosen a riskneutral probability \mathbb{Q} and that M and γ are computed w.r.t. \mathbb{Q} . We assume here that the interest rate r is constant.

Price dynamics of a survival claim $(X, 0, \tau)$.

Let $(X, 0, \tau)$ be a *survival claim*. The price of the payoff $\mathbb{1}_{\{T < \tau\}}X$ that settles at time T is

$$Y_t = e^{rt} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{1}_{\{T < \tau\}} e^{-rT} X \mid \mathcal{H}_t).$$

The dynamics of the price process is

$$dY_t = rY_t dt - (Xe^{-r(T-t)} - Y_{t-})dM_t$$

Price dynamics of a recovery claim $(0, Z, \tau)$.

The recovery Z is paid at the time of default. The **cum-dividend** price process Y of $(0, Z, \tau)$ is

$$Y_t = e^{rt} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{1}_{\{T \geq \tau\}} e^{-r\tau} Z(\tau) \mid \mathcal{H}_t),$$

Price dynamics of a recovery claim $(0, Z, \tau)$.

The recovery Z is paid at the time of default. The **cum-dividend** price process Y of $(0, Z, \tau)$ is

$$Y_t = e^{rt} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbf{1}_{\{T \geq \tau\}} e^{-r\tau} Z(\tau) \mid \mathcal{H}_t),$$

and

$$dY_t = rY_t dt + (Z(t) - Y_{t-}) dM_t$$

Price dynamics of a recovery claim $(0, Z, \tau)$.

The recovery Z is paid at the time of default. The **cum-dividend** price process Y of $(0, Z, \tau)$ is

$$Y_t = e^{rt} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{1}_{\{T \geq \tau\}} e^{-r\tau} Z(\tau) | \mathcal{H}_t),$$

and

$$dY_t = rY_t dt + (Z(t) - Y_{t-}) dM_t$$

The **ex-dividend** price is

$$S_t = e^{rt} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{1}_{\{T \geq \tau > t\}} e^{-r\tau} Z(\tau) | \mathcal{H}_t) = Y_t - e^{rt} Z(\tau) e^{-r\tau} \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}}.$$

Price dynamics of a recovery claim $(0, Z, \tau)$.

The recovery Z is paid at the time of default. The **cum-dividend** price process Y of $(0, Z, \tau)$ is

$$Y_t = e^{rt} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{1}_{\{T \geq \tau\}} e^{-r\tau} Z(\tau) \mid \mathcal{H}_t),$$

and

$$dY_t = rY_t dt + (Z(t) - Y_{t-}) dM_t$$

The **ex-dividend** price is

$S_t = e^{rt} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{1}_{\{T \geq \tau > t\}} e^{-r\tau} Z(\tau) \mid \mathcal{H}_t) = Y_t - e^{rt} Z(\tau) e^{-r\tau} \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}}$. Hence

$$dS_t = (rS_t - Z(t)\gamma(t))dt - (S_{t-} - Z(t))dM_t.$$

Valuation of a Credit Default Swap

A credit default swap (CDS) is a contract between two counterparties. B agrees to pay a default payment Z to A if a default of the obligor C occurs. If there is no default until the maturity of the default swap, B pays nothing. A pays a fee for the default protection. The fee can be either a fee paid till the maturity or till the default event.

A can not cancelled the contract. He can at any time before the default transfer the contract to D : D will pay the fee and receive the default payment if any. As we shall see, it can happen that D will require an amount of cash to accept to receive the contract. Usually, the fee consists of C_i paid at time T_i (this is the fixed leg). However, here we shall consider a continuous payment. The default payment is called the default leg.

A stylized credit default swap is formally introduced through the following definition.

A **credit default swap** with a **constant spread** κ and **recovery at default** is a defaultable claim $(0, C, Z, \tau)$, where $Z_t \equiv \delta(t)$ and $C_t = -\kappa t$ for every $t \in [0, T]$. An RCLL function $\delta : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ represents the **protection payment** and a constant $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$ is termed the **spread** (or the premium) of a CDS.

For simplicity, we assume that the interest rate $r = 0$, so that the price of a savings account $B_t = 1$ for every t . Our results can be easily extended to the case of a constant r .

Ex-dividend Price of a CDS

The ex-dividend price of a CDS maturing at T with spread κ is given by the formula

$$S_t(\kappa) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}^*} \left(\delta(\tau) \mathbb{1}_{\{t < \tau \leq T\}} - \mathbb{1}_{\{t < \tau\}} \kappa ((\tau \wedge T) - t) \mid \mathcal{H}_t \right).$$

Ex-dividend Price of a CDS

The ex-dividend price of a CDS maturing at T with spread κ is given by the formula

$$S_t(\kappa) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}^*} \left(\delta(\tau) \mathbb{1}_{\{t < \tau \leq T\}} - \mathbb{1}_{\{t < \tau\}} \kappa ((\tau \wedge T) - t) \mid \mathcal{H}_t \right).$$

The ex-dividend price at time $t \in [s, T]$ of a credit default swap with spread κ and recovery at default equals

$$S_t(\kappa) = \mathbb{1}_{\{t < \tau\}} \frac{1}{G(t)} \left(- \int_t^T \delta(u) dG(u) - \kappa \int_t^T G(u) du \right).$$

PROOF: We have, on the set $\{t < \tau\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
S_t(\kappa) &= -\frac{\int_t^T \delta(u) dG(u)}{G(t)} - \kappa \left(\frac{-\int_t^T u dG(u) + TG(T)}{G(t)} - t \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{G(t)} \left(-\int_t^T \delta(u) dG(u) - \kappa \left(TG(T) - tG(t) - \int_t^T u dG(u) \right) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

It remains to note that

$$\int_t^T G(u) du = TG(T) - tG(t) - \int_t^T u dG(u),$$

△

The ex-dividend price of a CDS can also be represented as follows

$$S_t(\kappa) = \mathbb{1}_{\{t < \tau\}} \tilde{S}_t(\kappa), \quad \forall t \in [0, T],$$

where $\tilde{S}_t(\kappa)$ stands for the *ex-dividend pre-default price* of a CDS.

Market CDS Spreads

Assume now that a CDS was initiated at some date $s \leq t$ and its initial price was equal to zero. *A market CDS started at s is a CDS initiated at time s whose initial value is equal to zero. A T -maturity CDS market spread at time s is the level of the spread $\kappa = \kappa(s, T)$ that makes a T -maturity CDS started at s worthless at its inception. A CDS market spread at time s is thus determined by the equation $S_s(\kappa(s, T)) = 0$.*

The T -maturity market spread $\kappa(s, T)$ is a solution to the equation

$$\int_s^T \delta(u) dG(u) + \kappa(s, T) \int_s^T G(u) du = 0,$$

and thus for every $s \in [0, T]$,

$$\kappa(s, T) = -\frac{\int_s^T \delta(u) dG(u)}{\int_s^T G(u) du}.$$

Standing assumptions. We fix the maturity date T , and we write briefly $\kappa(s)$ instead of $\kappa(s, T)$. In addition, we assume that all credit default swaps have a common recovery function δ .

Note that the ex-dividend pre-default value at time $t \in [0, T]$ We have the following result, in which the quantity $\nu(t, s) = \kappa(t) - \kappa(s)$ represents the *calendar CDS market spread* (for a given maturity T).

The ex-dividend price of a market CDS started at s with recovery δ at default and maturity T equals, for every $t \in [s, T]$,

$$S_t(\kappa(s)) = \mathbb{1}_{\{t < \tau\}} (\kappa(t) - \kappa(s)) \frac{\int_t^T G(u) du}{G(t)} = \mathbb{1}_{\{t < \tau\}} \nu(t, s) \frac{\int_t^T G(u) du}{G(t)},$$

or more explicitly,

$$S_t(\kappa(s)) = \mathbb{1}_{\{t < \tau\}} \frac{\int_t^T G(u) du}{G(t)} \left(\frac{\int_s^T \delta(u) dG(u)}{\int_s^T G(u) du} - \frac{\int_t^T \delta(u) dG(u)}{\int_t^T G(u) du} \right).$$

Price Dynamics of a CDS

In what follows, we assume that

$$G(t) = \mathbb{Q}(\tau > t) = \exp\left(-\int_0^t \gamma(u) du\right)$$

where the default intensity $\gamma(t)$ under \mathbb{Q} is deterministic. We first focus on the dynamics of the ex-dividend price of a CDS with spread κ started at some date $s < T$.

The dynamics of the ex-dividend price $S_t(\kappa)$ on $[s, T]$ are

$$dS_t(\kappa) = -S_{t-}(\kappa) dM_t + (1 - H_t)(\kappa - \delta(t)\gamma(t)) dt,$$

where the \mathbf{H} -martingale M under \mathbb{Q} is given by the formula

$$M_t = H_t - \int_0^t (1 - H_u)\gamma(u) du, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

PROOF: It suffices to recall that

$$S_t(\kappa) = \mathbb{1}_{\{t < \tau\}} \tilde{S}_t(\kappa) = (1 - H_t) \tilde{S}_t(\kappa)$$

so that

$$dS_t(\kappa) = (1 - H_t) d\tilde{S}_t(\kappa) - \tilde{S}_{t-}(\kappa) dH_t.$$

Using the explicit expression of \tilde{S}_t , we find easily that we have

$$d\tilde{S}_t(\kappa) = \gamma(t) \tilde{S}_t(\kappa) dt + (\kappa(s) - \delta(t) \gamma(t)) dt.$$

The SDE for S follows.

Trading Strategies with a CDS

A strategy $\phi_t = (\phi_t^0, \phi_t^1)$, $t \in [0, T]$, is self-financing if the wealth process $U(\phi)$, defined as

$$U_t(\phi) = \phi_t^0 + \phi_t^1 S_t(\kappa),$$

satisfies

$$dU_t(\phi) = \phi_t^1 dS_t(\kappa) + \phi_t^1 dD_t,$$

where $S(\kappa)$ is the ex-dividend price of a CDS with the dividend stream D . A strategy ϕ replicates a contingent claim Y if $U_T(\phi) = Y$.

Hedging of a Contingent Claim in the CDS Market

Our aim is to find **a replicating strategy for the defaultable claim** $(X, 0, Z, \tau)$, where X is a constant and $Z_t = z(t)$.

Hedging of a Contingent Claim in the CDS Market

Our aim is to find **a replicating strategy for the defaultable claim** $(X, 0, Z, \tau)$, where X is a constant and $Z_t = z(t)$.

Let \tilde{y} and ϕ^1 be defined as

$$\tilde{y}(t) = \frac{1}{G(t)} \left(\int_0^t z(s) dG(s) + XG(T) \right)$$

$$\phi^1(t) = \frac{z(t) - \tilde{y}(t)}{\delta(t) - \tilde{S}_t(\kappa)},$$

Hedging of a Contingent Claim in the CDS Market

Our aim is to find **a replicating strategy for the defaultable claim** $(X, 0, Z, \tau)$, where X is a constant and $Z_t = z(t)$.

Let \tilde{y} and ϕ^1 be defined as

$$\tilde{y}(t) = \frac{1}{G(t)} \left(\int_0^t z(s) dG(s) + XG(T) \right)$$

$$\phi^1(t) = \frac{z(t) - \tilde{y}(t)}{\delta(t) - \tilde{S}_t(\kappa)},$$

Let $\phi_t^0 = V_t(\phi) - \phi^1(t)S_t(\kappa)$, where $V_t(\phi) = \mathbb{E}_Q(Y|\mathcal{H}_t)$ and

$$Y = \mathbb{1}_{\{T \geq \tau\}} z(\tau) + \mathbb{1}_{\{T < \tau\}} X$$

Hedging of a Contingent Claim in the CDS Market

Our aim is to find **a replicating strategy for the defaultable claim** $(X, 0, Z, \tau)$, where X is a constant and $Z_t = z(t)$.

Let \tilde{y} and ϕ^1 be defined as

$$\tilde{y}(t) = \frac{1}{G(t)} \left(\int_0^t z(s) dG(s) + XG(T) \right)$$

$$\phi^1(t) = \frac{z(t) - \tilde{y}(t)}{\delta(t) - \tilde{S}_t(\kappa)},$$

Let $\phi_t^0 = V_t(\phi) - \phi^1(t)S_t(\kappa)$, where $V_t(\phi) = \mathbb{E}_Q(Y|\mathcal{H}_t)$ and

$$Y = \mathbb{1}_{\{T \geq \tau\}} z(\tau) + \mathbb{1}_{\{T < \tau\}} X$$

Then the self-financing strategy $\phi = (\phi^0, \phi^1)$ based on the savings account and the CDS is a replicating strategy.

Proof: The terminal value of the wealth is

$$Y = z(\tau)\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau < T\}} + X\mathbb{1}_{\{T < \tau\}}$$

Proof: The terminal value of the wealth is

$$Y = z(\tau)\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau < T\}} + X\mathbb{1}_{\{T < \tau\}}$$

On the one hand

$$\begin{aligned} E(Y|\mathcal{H}_t) = Y_t &= z(\tau)\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}} + \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau < t\}} \frac{1}{G(t)} \left(XG(T) + \int_0^t z(s)dG(s) \right) \\ &= \int_0^t z(s)dH_s + (1 - H_t) \frac{1}{G(t)} \left(XG(T) + \int_0^t z(s)dG(s) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Proof: The terminal value of the wealth is

$$Y = z(\tau)\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau < T\}} + X\mathbb{1}_{\{T < \tau\}}$$

On the one hand

$$\begin{aligned} E(Y|\mathcal{H}_t) = Y_t &= z(\tau)\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}} + \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau < t\}} \frac{1}{G(t)} \left(XG(T) + \int_0^t z(s)dG(s) \right) \\ &= \int_0^t z(s)dH_s + (1 - H_t) \frac{1}{G(t)} \left(XG(T) + \int_0^t z(s)dG(s) \right) \end{aligned}$$

hence $dY_t = (z(t) - \tilde{y}(t)) dM_t$ with $\tilde{y}(t) = \frac{1}{G(t)} (\int_0^t z(s)dG(s) + XG(T))$.

Proof: The terminal value of the wealth is

$$Y = z(\tau)\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau < T\}} + X\mathbb{1}_{\{T < \tau\}}$$

On the one hand

$$\begin{aligned} E(Y|\mathcal{H}_t) = Y_t &= z(\tau)\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}} + \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau < t\}} \frac{1}{G(t)} \left(XG(T) + \int_0^t z(s)dG(s) \right) \\ &= \int_0^t z(s)dH_s + (1 - H_t) \frac{1}{G(t)} \left(XG(T) + \int_0^t z(s)dG(s) \right) \end{aligned}$$

hence $dY_t = (z(t) - \tilde{y}(t)) dM_t$ with $\tilde{y}(t) = \frac{1}{G(t)} (\int_0^t z(s)dG(s) + XG(T))$.

On the other hand,

$$dY_t = \phi_t^1 (dS_t(\kappa) - \kappa(1 - H_t)dt + \delta(t)dH_t) = \phi_t^1 (\delta(t) - S_{t-}(\kappa)) dM_t.$$